arXiv:1510.01542v1 [math.KT] 6 Oct 2015

Gröbner Bases: Connecting Linear Algebra with Homological and Homotopical Algebra

Soutrik Roy Chowdhury

Abstract

The main objective of this thesis is to connect the theory of Gröbner bases to concepts of homological algebra. Gröbner bases, an important tool in algebraic system and in linear algebra help us to understand the structure of an algebra presented by its generators and relations by constructing a basis of its set of relations. In this thesis we mainly deal with graded augmented algebras. Given a graded augmented algebra with its generators and relations, it is possible to construct a free resolution from its Gröbner basis, known as Anick's resolution. Though rarely minimal, this resolution helps us to understand combinatorial properties of the algebra. The notion of a K_2 algebra was recently introduced by Cassidy and Shelton as a generalization of the notion of a Koszul algebra. We compute the Anick's resolution of K_2 algebra which shows several nice combinatorial properties. Later we compute some derived functors from Anick's resolution and outline how to construct A_∞-algebra structure on Ext-algebra from a minimal graded projective resolution in which we can use Anick's resolution as a tool. Thus this thesis provides an unique platform to connect concepts of linear algebra with homological algebra and homotopical algebra with the help of Gröbner bases.

Introduction

In this thesis, we discuss two major topics in algebra and algebraic system: Gröbner bases [1][2] and Anick's resolution [15][14][12].
Given polynomials $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ with $g \neq 0$, there exist uniquely determined polynomials q and r in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $f = qg + r$ and $\deg r < \deg g$. The polynomial r is called the remainder of f with respect to g.
This fundamental fact, known from high school, can be generalized to polynomial algebras over an arbitrary field. Indeed, there is an algorithm, known as Euclidean algorithm, to compute q and r. The algorithm works as follows: if $\deg f < \deg g$, then $q = 0$ and $r = f$. If $\deg f \geq \deg g$, let ax^n with $a \in \mathbb{K}$ be the leading term of f and bx^m with $b \in \mathbb{K}$ the leading term of g. Then the degree of $r_1 = f - (a/b)x^{n-m}g$ is less than $\deg f$. If $\deg r_1 < \deg g$, then $q = (a/b)x^{n-m}$ and $r = r_1$. Otherwise one applies the same reduction to r_1 and arrives, after a finite number of steps, to the desired presentation.
We would like to have similar division algorithm for polynomials in several variables. In section 3.6 we will give such an algorithm. Apparently there are two problems to overcome. The first is, that it is not clear what the leading term of such a polynomial should be. For example, there will be a problem to find the leading term of the polynomial $f = x_1^2x_2 + x_1x_2^2$. To define a leading term we must have a total order on the monomials. The second is, that one has to say what it means that the remainder is 'small' compared with the divisors. We will deal with these problems with the concepts *reductions* and *S-polynomials*.
The above desired division algorithm for polynomials with multi-variables comes under the notion of Gröbner bases which is a type of basis defined on an ideal I of an algebra. In 1965, Buchberger introduced the notion of Gröbner bases for a polynomial ideal and an algorithm (known as Buchberger's algorithm) for their computations [1]. Since the end of seventies, Gröbner bases have been an essential tool in the development of computational techniques for the symbolic solution to the systems of equations and in the development of effective methods in Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra.
Bergman [11] was the first to extend the Gröbner bases and Buchberger algorithm to ideals in the free non-commutative algebra; later, [16] made precise in which sense Gröbner bases can be computed in the free non-commutative algebra: there is a procedure which halts if and only if the ideal has a finite Gröbner basis, in which case it returns such a basis; though it has to be noted that the property of having finite Gröbner basis is undecidable. Gröbner bases have also been generalized to various non-commutative algebras, of interest in Differential Algebra (e.g. Weyl algebras, enveloping algebras of Lie algebras).
We begin this thesis with some background materials used to understand Gröbner bases and Anick's resolution which we will describe in the later

sections. In section 3.3, we define Gröbner bases for both commutative and non-commutative algebras and introduce the notion of *reduction* and *S-polynomial* for both cases. We mention the Diamond's lemma which plays the pivotal role in the construction of Buchberger's algorithm.
Let D be an associative augmented $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. For many purposes one would like to have a projective resolution of $\mathbb{K}$ as a D-module. The bar resolution is always easy to define, but it is often too large to use in practice. On the other hand, minimal resolution [17] may exist, but they are often hard to write down in a way that is amenable to calculations. In 1986, David J Anick, in his paper '*On the homology of associative algebras*' [15] described a new free resolution of $\mathbb{K}$ by D-modules which he has constructed from the Gröbner basis of D. In section 4.2, we mention a theorem which presents the resolution. Though rarely minimal, it is small enough to offer some bounds but explicit enough to facilitate calculations, it is best suited for analyzing otherwise tricky algebras given via generators and relations.
The notion of a K_2-algebra was recently introduced by Cassidy and Shelton in [10] as a generalization of the notion of a Koszul algebra. Conner and Goetz described an algebra B_n in [8]. Theorem 3.10 of [8] states that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, B_n is a K_2-algebra. We compute Anick's resolution of algebra B_n (and hence of algebra K_2) in section 4.4.
Later we mention some introductory category theory [4][5] and some concepts of homological algebra [6] which is used to show how we can use Anick's resolution to construct derived functors and A_∞-algebra structures associated to Ext-algebras. Derived functor is an important concept in homological algebra and A_∞ algebra structure, which was invented in early sixties by James Stasheff, provides an important tool in homotopical algebra with a wide range on applications in mathematical physics, mirror symmetry, topology etc. This paper thus presents a unique bridging between Gröbner bases, an important tool in algebraic system and theoretical computer science with homological algebra and homotopical algebra by constructing a free resolution from Gröbner basis and later show the construction of derived functors and finally constructing A_∞ structures from minimal free resolution through Merkulov's construction.

Acknowledgement

> "As are the crests on the heads of peacocks, as are the gems on the hoods of cobras, so is mathematics at the top of all sciences."
>
> The Yajurveda (c. 600 BCE)

Mathematics is a form of art which is used to draw several scientific tools. It depends on the artist to make the art beautiful and meaningful in front other people. If one devotes his time to understand mathematics, he will surely fall in love with mathematics. Though paying all my love and attention to learn mathematics and make this thesis an unique place to bridge linear algebra with homological algebra as well as with homotopical algebra (with a brief outline), this work is not possible without the help of the following people, both academically and non-academically. They are my *angels*.

At first, I would like to thank my thesis advisor, *Prof. Vladimir Dotsenko*. Thank you very much for all your support and love to make my work fruitful. Whenever I was in trouble, you were always there to help me understanding different topics and from time to time giving me motivations to learn various topics which plays a pivotal part in my learning. I would also like to say thanks for your help in my non-academic life also. Whenever I faced difficulties with rules and regulations in Trinity, you were always there to provide suggestions.

I would like to thank *Fran*, *Breda* and the *family* for providing me such beautiful hospitality and a family care during my stay in Ireland. As an international student, adjusting in a new country is always a problem. I never face such problem and that is because of you. *Fran* and *Breda*, you both are truly my Irish parents.

And finally thanks to my beloved mom and dad. Thanks for having faith on me. I must say, this is the beginning of devoting myself to mathematics, still long way to go. *Mani* and *Bapi*, I love you very much.

1 Notations

- Let A be a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra where $\mathbb{K}$ is a field. For any $a, b \in A$, $a \cdot b$ and ab are same.
- By $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}$ we denote the set of natural numbers and the set of rational numbers respectively.
- Let $I \subset A$ be an ideal of algebra A. By $I = (a)$, we mean I is generated by an element $a \in I$.
- For a word f, $\overline{f}$ denotes the reduction of f to its normal form.

Contents

1 Notations

2 Background Materials **2**
2.1 Algebra 2
2.2 Associative algebra 2
2.3 Augmentation (Algebra) 3
2.4 Graded algebra 3
2.5 Hilbert Series 3
2.6 Hilbert series for free product 6

3 Gröbner Bases **8**
3.1 Motivation 8
3.2 Admissible ordering, Leading terms, Leading co-efficients . . 8
3.3 Gröbner bases and Diamond lemma 11
3.4 Reduced Gröbner basis 15
3.5 Terminology for Gröbner bases in non-commutative algebras 16
3.6 Computation of Gröbner bases 17

4 Anick's Resolution and Its Computations **21**
4.1 Chain and their examples 21
4.2 Anick's resolution 23
4.3 Computation of Anick's resolution 25
4.4 Computation of Anick's resolution for algebra B_n 30

5 Categories, Functors and Natural Transformations **44**
5.1 Metacategory 44
5.2 Category 45
5.3 Functor 46
5.4 Natural Transformation 48
5.5 Product of Categories 49

6 Some Topics in Homological Algebra **52**
6.1 Some useful definitions 52
6.2 Free resolution 53
6.3 One example of free resolution 53

7 Further Research Directions **56**
7.1 Construction of derived functor from Anick resolution 56
7.2 Computation of A_∞ algebra from Anick resolution 58

2 Background Materials

2.1 Algebra

Definition 2.1.1. An **algebra** is a vector space A (over a field $\mathbb{K}$) equipped with a multiplication $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$, given by $x, y \mapsto \mu(x, y) = xy$, with the following properties:

- $(x + y)z = xz + yz$ for $x, y, z \in A$
- $x(y + z) = xy + xz$ for $x, y, z \in A$
- $(ab)(xy) = (ax)(by)$ where $x, y \in A$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$.

Example 2.1.1. Let us consider the vector space of all commutative polynomials in n variables over some field $\mathbb{K}$ with usual polynomial addition and multiplication, let us denote it by $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$. We take the multiplication as usual polynomial multiplication, then the vector space $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ form an algebra over $\mathbb{K}$.

Definition 2.1.2. Let A be an algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$. Then $I \subset A$ is a **left ideal** of A if:

1. $x - y \in I$ for any $x, y \in I$.
2. $ax \in I$ for any $a \in A$ and any $x \in I$.

Similarly $I \subset A$ is a **right ideal** of A if condition 1 holds and $xa \in I$ for any $a \in A$ and $x \in I$. I is a **two-sided ideal** (more generally 'an ideal') if it is a left as well as a right ideal.

Example 2.1.2. For algebra $\mathbb{K}[x]$, the set generated by x^2 (usually denoted by (x^2)) is an ideal of $\mathbb{K}[x]$.

2.2 Associative algebra

Definition 2.2.1. An algebra is **associative** if for the multiplication $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$ and for any $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$ we have the equality

$$((a_1 a_2)a_3) = (a_1(a_2 a_3)). \tag{1}$$

An associative algebra is called **commutative** if for any $a_1, a_2 \in A$ we have

$$(a_1 a_2) = (a_2 a_1). \tag{2}$$

Example 2.2.1. Algebra of commutative polynomials with either single or multivariables over a field $\mathbb{K}$ is an example of commutative associative algebra.We usually denote the algebra of commutative polynomials with n variables by $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$.
However algebra of non-commutative polynomials are examples of non-commutative associative algebra and we usually denote a non-commutative polynomial algebra with n variables by $\mathbb{K}\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\rangle$.

2.3 Augmentation (Algebra)

Definition 2.3.1. An **augmentation** of an associative algebra A over a field $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebra homomorphism $\epsilon : A \to \mathbb{K}$. An algebra together with an augmentation is called an **augmented algebra**.

Example 2.3.1. Let $A = \mathbb{K}[G]$ be group algebra[18] of a group G, then $\epsilon : A \to \mathbb{K}$ given by,

$$\sum a_i x_i \mapsto \sum a_i$$

where $a_i's \in \mathbb{K}$ and $x_i's \in G$, is an augmentation.

2.4 Graded algebra

Definition 2.4.1. An algebra A is **graded** if:

- $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$ where A_i's are subspaces of A.
- $A_i A_j \subseteq A_{i+j}$.

Example 2.4.1. The polynomial algebra is graded by degree.

Remark 2.4.1. Throughout this paper, we deal with finite dimensional subspaces of an algebra. It is possible to superimpose some homogeneous relations of a given degree so that the algebra remains infinite dimensional regardless of the form of its relations, stated by the **Golod-Shafarevich** theorem [3].

2.5 Hilbert Series

One of our main goal is to find tools to investigate properties of algebras. One of the main properties we are interested in is the size of an algebra. Most algebras we will be considering are infinite dimensional, so the question needs to be better defined. In the graded case at least, this is simple - we can ask the dimension of each graded component. This gives a sequence of numbers, which describes the size of the entire algebra. When we have a sequence of numbers, we can talk about the generating function. This is called *Hilbert series* for our graded algebra.

Definition 2.5.1. Let $A = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$ be a graded algebra. Then the formal series

$$H_A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim(A_n) t^n$$

is called the **Hilbert series** of the algebra A.

Example 2.5.1. Let us give an example - how to find the Hilbert series of the free associative algebra $A = \mathbb{K}\langle x, y, z\rangle$.
One can easily see that this algebra is graded - the graded components are homogeneous subspaces of each degree. So the subspace of degree 0 has dimension 1. The subspace of degree 1 is generated by x, y, z. So that has dimension 3. The subspace of degree 2 is generated by $x^2, xy, xz, yx, y^2, yz, zx, zy, z^2$, which is of dimension 9.
In general, the subspace of degree n has dimension 3^n (as there are 3 independent choices for each of the n positions). So

$$H_A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^n t^n = \frac{1}{1-3t}$$

Theorem 2.5.1. *If we have two graded algebras U and V, then we grade the algebra $U \oplus V$ with components $U_n \oplus V_n$ (except when $n = 0$, in which case $(U \oplus V)_n = K$). Similarly we can define the graded components of $U \otimes V$ as $\sum_{i=0}^{n} U_i \otimes V_{n-i}$. Then*

$$H_{U\oplus V} = H_U + H_V$$

and

$$H_{U\otimes V} = H_U H_V$$

Proof. For the first equality, we simply note that:

$$\dim(U \oplus V)_n = \dim U_n + \dim V_n.$$

For the second,

$$\dim(U \otimes V)_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \dim U_i \dim V_{n-i}$$

this exactly matches the co-efficient of t^n in $H_U H_V$. □

Corollary 2.5.1. *We call a subspace V homogeneous if $V = \oplus A_n \cap V$. If U,V are homogeneous subspaces of some algebra, then $H_{U+V} \leq H_U + H_V$ and $H_{UV} \leq H_U H_V$ (where the inequality is co-efficient wise, and the sum and product are set sum and multiplications).*

Proof. This directly follows from theorem 2.5.1. We have equality if every element of H_{U+V} can be written uniquely as $u + v$. □

Example 2.5.2. (Hilbert series of polynomial algebra):
Let us give another example - how to find the Hilbert series of the polynomial algebra $A = \mathbb{K}[x, y]$.
Again we can take grading by degree. So the subspace of degree 0 has dimension 1. The subspace of degree 1 is generated by x, y, so it has dimension

2. The subspace of degree 2 is generated by x^2, xy, y^2, which has dimension 3.
In general, the subspace of degree n has dimension $n+1$ (as the word is determined by the position where the x's stop and the y's begin; there are $n+1$ such places). So

$$H_A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)t^n$$

we note that

$$\frac{1}{1-t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n.$$

Taking derivatives on both sides give:

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)t^n$$

So

$$H_A = \frac{1}{(1-t)^2}$$

Theorem 2.5.2. *The Hilbert series of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[x]$ is computed by the formula*

$$H_{\mathbb{K}[x]} = \prod_{x\in X}(1-t^{|x|})^{-1}.$$

The Hilbert series of the exterior algebra $\bigwedge \mathbb{K}[x]$ is calculated by the formula

$$H_{\bigwedge \mathbb{K}[x]} = \prod_{x\in X}(1+t^{|x|}).$$

In particular, in case of natural graduation and a finite set of generators d, we have:

$$H^{-1}_{\mathbb{K}[x]} = (1-t)^d; \quad H_{\bigwedge \mathbb{K}[x]} = (1+t)^d$$

Proof. In the case of one generator, the Hilbert series in the power of $n = |x|$ is computed straightforwardly: it is equal to $1 + t^n + t^{2n} + \cdots = (1-t^n)^{-1}$ in case of polynomial ring and $1 + t^n$ in the case of exterior algebra[19]. The case of finite number of generators reduces to this one, with the help of theorem 2.5.1. Finally, in case of infinite number of generators, the degree of generators must increase, for if not, we do not get finite-dimensionality of graded subspaces. Consequently, for every n, the segment of the Hilbert series up to the exponent n depends only on finite number of generators with the degree not exceeding n, thus everything reduces to the finite case. □

Remark 2.5.1. If we apply the formula of Hilbert series for polynomial algebra of theorem 2.5.2 to our previous computed example 2.5.2 we will get the exact result.

2.6 Hilbert series for free product

Definition 2.6.1. We define the **free product** of two algebra A, B as the disjoint union of their generators, with both sets of relations. We usually denote it by $A * B$.

Example 2.6.1. The free product of the algebra $A = \langle x \mid x^3 + 2x^2 \rangle$ and the algebra $B = \langle x, y \mid 2x^2 = y^2 \rangle$ is given by the algebra $A * B = \langle x, y, z \mid x^3 + 2x^2, 2y^2 = z^2 \rangle$.

Theorem 2.6.1 (Hilbert series of free product)**.** *If A, B are graded algebras, then*

$$(H_{A*B})^{-1} = H_A^{-1} + H_B^{-1} - 1.$$

Proof. Any word/monomial in $A * B$ is either begins with a (non-scalar) element of A, or an element of B (excluding terms that belong to the underlying field). This follows from the fact that, because the two sets of generators have no overlap, the Gröbner basis of $A * B$ will be the union of the Gröbner basis of A and the Gröbner basis of B. So take any monomial in $A * B$. It begins with a generator either from A or B. Without loss of generality say its from A. Then take the longest prefix of this word that consists of generators from A. This must be a word in A, otherwise it would contain a leading term of the Gröbner basis for A, and hence a leading term in the Gröbner basis for $A * B$.
So we know that words in $A * B$ start with a word from either A or B. That suggests the following decomposition:

$$H_{A*B} = H_{V_1} + H_{V_2} + 1$$

where V_1 are the elements that begin with a non-scalar element of A, and V_2 are the elements that begin with a non-scalar element of B. So we have

$$H_{V_1} = (H_A - 1)(H_{V_2} + 1)$$

$$H_{V_2} = (H_B - 1)(H_{V_1} + 1).$$

The above imply that

$$H_{V_1} = \frac{H_A H_B - H_B}{H_A + H_B - H_A H_B}$$

$$H_{V_2} = \frac{H_A H_B - H_A}{H_A + H_B - H_A H_B}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} H_{A*B} &= \frac{H_A H_B - H_B}{H_A + H_B - H_A H_B} + \frac{H_A H_B - H_A}{H_A + H_B - H_A H_B} + 1 \\ &= \frac{2H_A H_B - H_A - H_B - H_A H_B + H_A + H_B}{H_A + H_B - H_A H_B} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{H_A H_B}{H_A + H_B - H_A H_B}.$$

Hence

$$(H_{A*B})^{-1} = H_A^{-1} + H_B^{-1} - 1.$$

□

3 Gröbner Bases

3.1 Motivation

Let $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial algebra. Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$. Our aim is to study the structure of $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]/I$ in a constructive way. In other words, we need to investigate given $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, whether it belongs to I or not. For single variable polynomial algebra it is easy to determine as $\mathbb{K}[x]$ is an Euclidean domain, so we can perform Euclidean algorithm to know whether f is in I or not. But in case of multivariable polynomial algebra ($\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$) it is difficult to say as $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ is neither an Euclidean domain nor a principal ideal domain.

Lemma 3.1.1. *The polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ is not a principal ideal domain for $n > 1$.*

Proof. Take an ideal generated by $\{x_1, x_2\}$. If f generates this ideal, then f divides both x_1 and x_2, so f is a constant term. So our ideal must be the entire algebra. But 1 is in the algebra, but not in the ideal. Contradiction. □

To solve this problem we have the concept of Gröbner basis which is a type of basis defined carefully which tells that if we replace our generators f_i of the ideal I with a Gröbner basis g_j of the same ideal then we have the property that the remainder of f on division by the polynomials g_j is 0 if and only if f is in the ideal. Later we also observe that monomials not divisible by leading terms of the Gröbner basis G form a basis of $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]/I$, which is sufficient to describe the structure of the algebra modulo I.
So we understand that to study the structure of $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]/I$ in a constructive way we require the concept of Gröbner bases.The original definition was given in Bruno Buchberger's PhD thesis in 1965[1]. Before moving to the core concepts of Gröbner bases we require some preliminary tools to describe it.

3.2 Admissible ordering, Leading terms, Leading co-efficients

Theorem 3.2.1 (Hilbert basis theorem)**.** *$I \subset \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ is always finitely generated, so there exist $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $I = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m)$.*

Proof. For proof, we refer to [2]. □

Definition 3.2.1. An **admissible ordering** ' $<$' of monomials is a total ordering of all monomials in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ such that

- it is a well ordering. Equivalently we say that there is no infinite decreasing sequence.
- $m_1 < m_2 \Rightarrow m_1 m_3 < m_2 m_3$ for any monomial m_3, where $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$.

Lemma 3.2.1. *There is only one admissible ordering of monomials in* $\mathbb{K}[x]$ *i.e.*

$$x^k < x^l \text{ if and only if } k < l$$

Proof. This is easy to verify. We take an ordering $1 < x$ which implies $x < x^2$, $x^2 < x^3$, ... so we get an well ordering. Also take $x^m < x^l$ for some $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$, then for any x^k for some k, we always have $x^{m+k} < x^{l+k}$. These all together imply that the ordering we choose is admissible. Now suppose we take $x < 1$, which implies $x^2 < x$, $x^3 < x^2$, ..., then this implies existence of an infinite decreasing sequence, hence contradiction. □

Example 3.2.1. LEX(lexicographic ordering),

$$x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \ldots x_n^{i_n} < x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} x_3^{j_3} \ldots x_n^{j_n}$$

if

$$i_1 < j_1 \quad \text{or}$$

$$i_1 = j_1, i_2 < j_2 \quad \text{or}$$

$$i_1 = j_1, i_2 = j_2, i_3 < j_3 \quad \text{or}$$

$$\vdots$$

Example 3.2.2. DEGLEX(degree-lexicographic ordering),
a little difference with **LEX** is that here first we consider the degree then the **LEX** ordering.

$$x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \ldots x_n^{i_n} < x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} x_3^{j_3} \ldots x_n^{j_n}$$

if

$$i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + \cdots + i_n < j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + \cdots + j_n \quad \text{or}$$

$$i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + \cdots + i_n = j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + \cdots + j_n \quad \text{and}$$

$$x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \ldots x_n^{i_n} <_{\mathbf{LEX}} x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} x_3^{j_3} \ldots x_n^{j_n}.$$

Let us fix an admissible ordering. Let $I \subset \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal.

Definition 3.2.2. By leading term of I (we usually denote it by $\mathrm{LT}(I)$) we mean space of linear combinations of monomials m over $\mathbb{K}$ which are leading terms of elements of I. We say $m \in \mathrm{LT}(I)$ if there exists $f \in I$ such that

$$f = cm + \sum c_i m_i,$$

where m_i's are monomials with $m_i < m$ and $c_i, c \in \mathbb{K}$ with $c \neq 0$.

Definition 3.2.3. By $\mathrm{LT}(f)$, we denote the leading monomial in f.

Example 3.2.3. Let us fix DEGLEX ordering with $x > y$. Let f be $x^3 - xy^2$. Then according to the ordering $\mathrm{LT}(f) = x^3$. Now let us take the same f and now we fix the DEGLEX order with $y > x$, then $\mathrm{LT}(f) = xy^2$.

Definition 3.2.4. By $\mathrm{LC}(f)$ (leading co-efficient), we denote the co-efficient of the leading monomial in f.

Example 3.2.4. Let us consider the same f from example 3.2.3. In case of ordering $x > y$, $\mathrm{LC}(f) = 1$. In case of ordering $y > x$, $\mathrm{LC}(f) = -1$.

Lemma 3.2.2. $\mathrm{LT}(I)$ *is itself an ideal in* $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$.

Proof. Let $m \in \mathrm{LT}(I)$ be a monomial. Then according to the definition 3.2.2 there exists $f \in I$ such that $f = cm + \sum c_i m_i$ with $m_i < m$ for all i and $c_i, c \in \mathbb{K}$, $c \neq 0$. We form $m' = m''m$. Multiplying m'' with f gives,

$$m''f = cm''m + \sum c_i m''m_i.$$

As I is an ideal hence $m''f \in I$. $m_i < m$ implies $m''m_i < m''m$ for all i. These together imply that $m''m$ is the leading monomial of $m''f$. Hence $m' \in \mathrm{LT}(I)$. □

Lemma 3.2.3. *Cosets of monomials* $m \notin \mathrm{LT}(I)$ *form a basis in* $R = \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]/I$.

Proof. Let us first prove the linear independence. Let $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_l \notin \mathrm{LT}(I)$, without loss of generality assume $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_l$ (where $<$ is our fixed admissible ordering), then we have $c_1m_1 + c_2m_2 + \cdots + c_lm_l = 0$ in R, where $c_i's \in \mathbb{K}$. Let $f = c_1m_1 + c_2m_2 + \cdots + c_lm_l \in I$. Then $\mathrm{LT}(f) \in \mathrm{LT}(I)$, a contradiction unless $f = 0$ implies $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_l = 0$.
Next we need to check the spanning set property i.e. we need to show that if $m \in \mathrm{LT}(I)$, then m is a linear combination in R of cosets of monomials not present in $\mathrm{LT}(I)$. We will prove this with the help of contradiction. Let's take the smallest $m \in \mathrm{LT}(I)$ for which such a combination doesn't exist. Now by definition, there exists $f \in I$, such that $0 = f = cm + \sum c_i m_i$, with $m_i < m$, and each of $m_i's$ is not in $\mathrm{LT}(I)$. Then we have $m = -\sum(c_i/c)m_i$ which can be represented as a combination of cosets of elements outside $\mathrm{LT}(I)$. Contradiction. □

3.3 Gröbner bases and Diamond lemma

Definition 3.3.1. A subset $G \subset I$ is called a **Gröbner basis** of I if $\{\mathrm{LT}(g) |\, g \in G\}$ generate the ideal $\mathrm{LT}(I)$ i.e. for each $f \in I, \mathrm{LT}(f)$ is divisible by $\mathrm{LT}(g)$ for some $g \in G$.

Lemma 3.3.1. $(G) = I$.

Proof. We know that $(G) \subset I$, assume that $(G) \neq I$. Let $f \in I \backslash (G)$ with smallest possible leading monomial. Then $\mathrm{LT}(f) = m\,\mathrm{LT}(g)$ for some $g \in G$, $m \in I$. Let $\hat{f} = f - (\mathrm{LT}(f)/\,\mathrm{LT}(g))mg$. Then we have $\mathrm{LT}(\hat{(f)}) < \mathrm{LT}(f)$, it implies $\hat{f} \in I$ which implies $\hat{f} \in (G)$, then

$$f = \hat{f} + (\mathrm{LC}(f)/\,\mathrm{LC}(g))mg \in (G),$$

which is a contradiction. □

Remark 3.3.1. We have already proved that monomials not divisible by $\mathrm{LT}(G)$ form a basis of $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]/I$.

Definition 3.3.2. Given a Gröbner basis $G \subset I$, **normal monomials** with respect to G are those monomials which are not divisible by $\mathrm{LT}(g)$, for $g \in G$. We sometime call normal monomials as **normal words**. The space spanned by the normal words is usually denoted by N.

Lemma 3.3.2. *Cosets of normal monomials form a basis of* $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]/I$.

Proof. This is proved earlier in form of lemma 3.2.3 □

We are now going to define *reduction* and *S-polynomial* for the commutative case. These two definitions play an important role for computation of Gröbner bases.

Definition 3.3.3. Suppose f_1,$f_2 \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, and there exists a monomial m with

$$\mathrm{LT}(f_1) = m\,\mathrm{LT}(f_2).$$

Then

$$R_{f_2}(f_1) = f_1 - \frac{\mathrm{LC}(f_1)}{\mathrm{LC}(f_2)} m f_2 \tag{3}$$

is called **reduction** of f_1 with respect to f_2.

Definition 3.3.4. Suppose we have two polynomials f_1 and $f_2 \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, suppose there exist monomials m_1,m_2 such that

$$m_1\,\mathrm{LT}(f_2) = m_2\,\mathrm{LT}(f_1) \text{ and } \deg(m_1) < \deg \mathrm{LT}(f_1) \tag{4}$$

then

$$S(f_1, f_2) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}(f_1)} m_2 f_1 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}(f_2)} m_1 f_2 \tag{5}$$

is called **S-polynomial** with respect to a small common multiple (4).

Example 3.3.1. Let us give an example to show how reduction and S-polynomial work, suppose we have a commutative polynomial algebra in 2 variable i.e. $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$. We will pick **DEGLEX** ordering. Now let $f_1 = x^3 - y^2$ and $f_2 = x^3 - x + 1$. Then one can see for both f_1 and f_2, LM is x^3. So $\mathrm{LT}(f_1) = \mathrm{LT}(f_2) = x^3$ implies $m = 1$ so that $x^3 = 1 \cdot x^3$, our reduction of f_1 w.r.t f_2 will be then $x^3 - y^2 - (x^3 - x + 1) = x - y^2 - 1$.
And while computing S-polynomial with respect to a small common multiple we have 3 choices,

$$1 \cdot x^3 = 1 \cdot x^3$$

$$x \cdot x^3 = x \cdot x^3$$

$$x^2 \cdot x^3 = x^2 \cdot x^3$$

hence for each cases we can compute the S-polynomial using our formula (5). For an example if we consider $x \cdot x^3 = x \cdot x^3$ then our S-polynomial will be $x^2(x^3 - y^2) - x^2(x^3 - x + 1) = x^3 - x^2y^2 - x^2$.

Definition 3.3.5. A polynomial f can be reduced to 0 **modulo** G, if there exists $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G$ such that

$$R_{g_m}(\ldots\ldots R_{g_2}(R_{g_1}(f))\ldots) = 0$$

Lemma 3.3.3. ***Diamond lemma:***
A subset $G \subset I$ forms a Gröbner basis if and only if for each $g_1, g_2 \in G$ $R_{g_2}(g_1)$ (if defined) can be reduced to 0 modulo G.
And also for each $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and each small common multiple of $\mathrm{LT}(g_1), \mathrm{LT}(g_2)$; the corresponding S-polynomial can be reduced to 0 modulo G.

We write another lemma which is equivalent to the *diamond lemma*.

Lemma 3.3.4. *Assume $(G) = I$, then the following statements are equivalent:*
(i) G is a Gröbner basis of I.
(ii) All reductions and all S-polynomials of pair of elements of G can be reduced to 0 modulo G.
(iii) For every $f \in I$, f admits a representation

$$f = h_1g_1 + h_2g_2 + \cdots + h_ng_n \ ; \ g_i \in G$$

with

$$\mathrm{LT}(f) = \max(\mathrm{LT}(h_ig_i))$$

Proof. We need to show that

$$(i) \text{ implies } (ii) \text{ implies } (iii) \text{ implies } (i)$$

The implication of (iii) to (i) is trivial from the definition of Gröbner bases as for each $f \in I$, $\mathrm{LT}(f) = \max(\mathrm{LT}(h_ig_i))$ of (iii) implies that $\mathrm{LT}(f)$ is

divisible by $\mathrm{LT}(g_i)$ for some $g_i \in G$ which exactly the definition of Gröbner basis tells. We will at first prove (i) implies (ii).
Suppose G is a Gröbner basis, then every $f \in I$ can be reduced to 0 modulo G, ($f \in I \Rightarrow \mathrm{LT}(f) = m\,\mathrm{LT}(g)$ for some $g \in G$, then $R_g(f)$ has smaller leading term and we proceed with that and proceed further until get 0. Similarly for $f \in I$ we have $m_1\,\mathrm{LT}(f) = m_2\,\mathrm{LT}(g)$ for some $g \in G$, with $\deg(m_1) < \deg \mathrm{LT}(g)$, so we have $S(f,g)$ with smaller leading term and proceed like this until get 0.). This is exactly what statement (2) says.
Next we will show (ii) implies (iii).
As $I = (G)$, lets take $f \in I$,

$$f = h_1g_1 + h_2g_2 + \cdots + h_ng_n; \text{ with } \mathrm{LT}(f) < \max(\mathrm{LT}(h_ig_i)).$$

Our main objective is to show how to replace this combination by another one with smaller $\max(\mathrm{LT}(h_ig_i))$, if still bigger than $\mathrm{LT}(f)$, continue until it becomes $\mathrm{LT}(f)$. Without loss of generality,

$$\mathrm{LT}(h_1g_1) = \mathrm{LT}(h_2g_2) = \cdots = \mathrm{LT}(h_kg_k) = \max \mathrm{LT}(h_ig_i)$$

$$\text{and } \mathrm{LT}(h_pg_p) < \max \mathrm{LT}(h_ig_i) \text{ for } p > k.$$

We will use induction on k to prove our desired result.
if $k = 1$, a contradiction as there is no possibility to replace the combination with smaller $\max \mathrm{LT}(h_ig_i)$, so $k \geq 2$. Now

$$\mathrm{LT}(h_1g_1) = \mathrm{LT}(h_1)\,\mathrm{LT}(g_1)$$

$$\mathrm{LT}(h_2g_2) = \mathrm{LT}(h_2)\,\mathrm{LT}(g_2).$$

If $\mathrm{LT}(h_1g_1) = \mathrm{LT}(h_2g_2)$, then one of the following three conditions holds:
(a) $\mathrm{LT}(g_1)$ is divisible by $\mathrm{LT}(g_2)$.
(b) $\mathrm{LT}(g_1), \mathrm{LT}(g_2)$ have a small common multiple.
(c) $\mathrm{LT}(g_1), \mathrm{LT}(g_2)$ have no common divisors.
Let us first consider case (a) ,

$$\mathrm{LT}(g_1) = m\,\mathrm{LT}(g_2)$$

$$\text{then } R_{g_2}(g_1) = g_1 - \frac{\mathrm{LC}(g_1)}{\mathrm{LC}(g_2)} m g_2$$

$$\text{now } h_1g_1 + h_2g_2 = h_1\left(R_{g_2}(g_1) + \frac{\mathrm{LC}(g_1)}{\mathrm{LC}(g_2)} m g_2\right) + h_2g_2$$

$$= h_1R_{g_2}(g_1) + \left(\frac{\mathrm{LC}(g_1)}{\mathrm{LC}(g_2)} m h_1 + h_2\right) g_2$$

$$\text{with } \quad \mathrm{LT}(R_{g_2}(g_1)) < \mathrm{LT}(g_1)$$

$$\text{so } h_1R_{g_2}(g_1) = \sum_i \tilde{h_i} g_i h_1$$

$$\mathrm{LT}(g_1) > \mathrm{LT}(R_{g_2}(g_1)) = \max(\mathrm{LT}(\tilde{h_i} g_i))$$

So the condition (a) replaces the combination by another one with smaller k. Let us move to condition (b),

$$\mathrm{LT}(h_1)\,\mathrm{LT}(g_1) = \mathrm{LT}(h_2)\,\mathrm{LT}(g_2)$$

suppose that,

$$\mathrm{LT}(g_1) = m_1 d$$

$$\mathrm{LT}(g_2) = m_2 d$$

where m_1, m_2 have no common factors,

$$\mathrm{LT}(h_1) m_1 d = \mathrm{LT}(h_2) m_2 d$$

cancelling d, we get,

$$\mathrm{LT}(h_1) = e m_2$$

$$\mathrm{LT}(h_2) = e m_1, \quad \text{for some } e,$$

$$h_1 g_1 + h_2 g_2 = \mathrm{LC}(h_1)\,\mathrm{LT}(h_1) g_1 + (h_1 - \mathrm{LC}(h_1)\,\mathrm{LT}(h_1)) g_1$$

$$+ \mathrm{LC}(h_2)\,\mathrm{LT}(h_2) g_2 + (h_2 - \mathrm{LC}(h_2)\,\mathrm{LT}(h_2)) g_2$$

as $(h_1 - \mathrm{LC}(h_1)\,\mathrm{LT}(h_1)) g_1 + (h_2 - \mathrm{LC}(h_2)\,\mathrm{LT}(h_2)) g_2$ have smaller leading term so we proceed with the remaining terms, i.e. we deal with

$$\mathrm{LC}(h_1)\,\mathrm{LT}(h_1) g_1 + \mathrm{LC}(h_2)\,\mathrm{LT}(h_2) g_2. \tag{6}$$

We have

$$m_2\,\mathrm{LT}(g_1) = m_1\,\mathrm{LT}(g_2)$$

so the S-polynomial with respect to small common multiple,

$$S = \frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}(g_1)} m_2 g_1 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}(g_2)} m_1 g_2$$

Putting the values of $\mathrm{LT}(h_1)$ and $\mathrm{LT}(h_2)$ in equation (6) we get,

$$\mathrm{LC}(h_1) e m_2 g_1 + \mathrm{LC}(h_2) e m_1 g_2$$

$$= \mathrm{LC}(h_1) \left(\mathrm{LC}(g_1) S + \frac{\mathrm{LC}(g_1)}{\mathrm{LC}(g_2)} m_1 g_2 \right) e + \mathrm{LC}(h_2) e m_1 g_2$$

$$= \mathrm{LC}(h_1)\,\mathrm{LC}(g_1) S + \left(\mathrm{LC}(h_1) \frac{\mathrm{LC}(g_1)}{\mathrm{LC}(g_2)} m_1 e + \mathrm{LC}(h_2) m_1 e \right) g_2$$

So we have again replaced the combination with a smaller k.
Finally we have condition (c) in hand,

$$\mathrm{LT}(h_1)\,\mathrm{LT}(g_1) = \mathrm{LT}(h_2)\,\mathrm{LT}(g_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{LT}(h_1) = \mathrm{LT}(g_2)e$$
$$\text{and} \quad \mathrm{LT}(h_2) = \mathrm{LT}(g_1)e, \ \text{ for some } e$$

Now

$$h_1 g_1 + h_2 g_2$$
$$= \mathrm{LC}(h_1)\,\mathrm{LT}(h_1)g_1 + \mathrm{LC}(h_2)\,\mathrm{LT}(h_2)g_2 + \ \text{lower terms.}$$

We proceed with

$$\mathrm{LC}(h_1)\,\mathrm{LT}(h_1)g_1 + \mathrm{LC}(h_2)\,\mathrm{LT}(h_2)g_2.$$

Replacing the values of $\mathrm{LT}(h_1)$ and $\mathrm{LT}(h_2)$ in the above term we get,

$$\mathrm{LC}(h_1)\,\mathrm{LT}(g_2)eg_1 + \mathrm{LC}(h_2)\,\mathrm{LT}(g_1)eg_2. \tag{7}$$

We have

$$\mathrm{LT}(g_2) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}(g_2)}(g_2 - \bar{g_2}),$$

replacing this in equation (7) we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{LC}(h_1)}{\mathrm{LC}(g_2)}(g_2 - \bar{g_2})eg_1 + \mathrm{LC}(h_2)\,\mathrm{LT}(g_1)eg_2$$

which again makes either leading term or k smaller.
This is how we proceed using induction and prove statement (iii) from (ii). □

3.4 Reduced Gröbner basis

Definition 3.4.1. A Gröbner basis G of I is **reduced**[2] if for each $g \in G$,

- $\mathrm{LC}(g) = 1$.
- $g - \mathrm{LT}(g)$ is a linear combination of normal monomials.

Theorem 3.4.1. *Let us fix an admissible ordering. Then every I has a unique reduced Gröbner basis.*

Proof. Let us take some Gröbner basis $G \subset I$.
First condition of reduced Gröbner basis is easy to satisfy as we just divide each g by it's leading co-efficient, i.e. $g \to g/\,\mathrm{LC}(g)$.
The reduction and S-polynomial suggests that remaining terms of g is not divisible by the leading term of any terms in G which implies that $g - \mathrm{LT}(g)$ is a linear combination of normal monomials. Now we will prove the uniqueness.
Let $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_s\}$ and $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_s\}$ be two reduced and ordered Gröbner bases so that $\mathrm{LT}(f_i) = \mathrm{LT}(g_i)$ for each i. Consider $f_i - g_i \in I$, if it's not 0, then its leading term must be a term that appeared either in f_i or in g_i. In either case, this contradicts the fact that the bases being reduced, so in fact we get our required $f_i = g_i$. □

3.5 Terminology for Gröbner bases in non-commutative algebras

We will deal with non-commutative polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\rangle$. For definitions of admissible ordering in non-commutative cases and more terminology we refer [13][16].

Definition 3.5.1. We have two polynomials $f, g \in \mathbb{K}\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\rangle$, with there exists monomials m_1, m_2 such that,

$$\mathrm{LT}(f) = m_1 \,\mathrm{LT}(g) m_2$$

then

$$R_g(f) = f - \frac{\mathrm{LC}(f)}{\mathrm{LC}(g)} m_1 g m_2 \tag{8}$$

is called **reduction** of f with respect to g.

Definition 3.5.2. For $f, g \in \mathbb{K}\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ and for any small common multiple of $\mathrm{LT}(f), \mathrm{LT}(g)$; there exists two monomials m_1, m_2 with

$$\mathrm{LT}(f) m_2 = m_1 \,\mathrm{LT}(g) \;\; \textit{with} \;\; \deg m_1 < \deg \mathrm{LT}(f)$$

then

$$S(f, g) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}(f)} f m_2 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}(g)} m_1 g \tag{9}$$

is called the **S-polynomial** with respect to small common multiples.

Example 3.5.1. In 3.6, while computing Gröbner basis in non-commutative polynomial algebra we give examples to show how reduction and S-polynomial work.

Lemma 3.5.1. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{K}\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ be a two-sided ideal. Then $\mathrm{LT}(I)$ which is linear span of $\mathrm{LT}(f)$ with $f \in I$ is also a two-sided ideal.*

Definition 3.5.3. A subset $G \subset I$ is a **Gröbner basis** of I if for every $f \in I$, we have $\mathrm{LT}(f) = m_1 \,\mathrm{LT}(g) m_2$ for some $g \in G$.

Lemma 3.5.2 (Diamond lemma for non-commutative case)**.** *$G \in I$ forms a Gröbner basis of I if and only if for each $g_1, g_2 \in G$; $R_{g_2}(g_1)$ (if defined) can be reduced to 0 modulo G. Also for each $g_1, g_2 \in g$ and each small common multiple of $\mathrm{LT}(g_1), \mathrm{LT}(g_2)$; the corresponding S-polynomial can be reduced to 0 modulo G.*

Proof. The proof is similar as the proof of diamond lemma in case of commutative algebra. Here we need some modification while dealing with reduction terms and continue with the same strategy of the proof given in lemma 3.3.4, so we skip this proof. For a proof, we direct to [13]. □

3.6 Computation of Gröbner bases

In this section we show how to compute Gröbner basis for an ideal I of a polynomial algebra. At first we present the Buchberger's algorithm of computation of Gröbner basis in a commutative algebra. The algorithm is similar in case of non-commutative algebra.

3.6.1 Buchberger's algorithm

We start with an ideal I generated by a set G. The Buchberger's algorithm, which is a simple consequence of lemma 3.3.3, is the following:
Step 1: If the leading term of any element u of G occurs inside the leading term of another element v of G, then we reduce v by subtracting off the required multiple of u. In general we will perform the reduction mentioned in definition 3.3.3.
Step 2: For each pair of distinct elements of G we compute the S-polynomial (mentioned in definition 3.3.4) and a remainder of it.
Step 3: If the remainder can be reduced further then we will follow step 1 or we will add that term in our set G. If all S-polynomials reduce to 0, then the algorithm ends and G is the Gröbner basis of I. If not then we will continue further with our 3 steps.

Remark 3.6.1. For commutative cases the algorithm ends in a finite number of stages. However for a non-commutative case there is no guarantee of the termination of the algorithm after a finite number of stages. In that case we start adding all elements which can't be reduced further in our set G and in most cases we have seen a combinatorial interpretation for our terms in G.

3.6.2 Commutative example

Example 3.6.1. Let us take $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]$ as our commutative polynomial algebra in two variables x_1, x_2. Suppose there are two polynomials

$$h_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

$$h_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 + x_2^3$$

belonging to our polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]$. We compute the Gröbner basis for $I = (h_1, h_2) \subset \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]$.
Let us fix an admissible ordering. Usually we take **DEGLEX** ordering. So here we consider $x_1 > x_2$. So we get $\mathrm{LT}(h_1) = x_1^2$ and $\mathrm{LT}(h_2) = x_1^3$. So initially our set is $G = \{h_1, h_2\}$. But we see that h_2 can be reduced further. So we have $x_1^3 = x_1 \cdot x_1^2$,

$$R_{h_1}(h_2) = (x_1^3 + x_2^3) - x_1(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$$

$$= x_2^3 - x_1x_2^2.$$

So we have obtained a new term $x_2^3 - x_1x_2^2$ which cannot be reduced further, we add this to our set G which is now $\{h_1, R_{h_1}(h_2)\}$. We call $R_{h_1}(h_2)$ as h_3. We see that the leading term of h_3 is $x_1x_2^2$. We have also found that $x_1 \cdot x_1x_2^2 = x_1^2 \cdot x_2^2$. So we will compute the S-polynomial between h_1, h_3.

$$S(h_1, h_3) = -x_1(x_2^3 - x_1x_2^2) - (x_1^2 + x_2^2)x_2^2$$

$$= -x_1x_2^3 - x_2^4.$$

The term $-x_1x_2^3 - x_2^4$ has $x_1x_2^3$ as the leading term which can be reduced further through $\mathrm{LT}(h_3)$. We get $x_1x_2^3 = (x_1x_2^2) \cdot x_2$. hence the reduction yields

$$-x_1x_2^3 - x_2^4 - (x_2^3 - x_1x_2^2)x_2$$

$$= -2x_2^4$$

which cannot be reduced further and also one cannot compute more S-polynomial. Hence we add $-2x_2^4$ in our set G and the final set G is our Gröbner basis for I, the set is precisely as follows

$$\{x_1^2 + x_2^2,\ x_2^3 - x_1x_2^2,\ -2x_2^4\}.$$

Remark 3.6.2. The reduced Gröbner basis of I of our previous example is given by $\{x_1^2 + x_2^2,\ x_1x_2^2 - x_2^3,\ x_2^4\}$. It is not very difficult to obtain this reduced Gröbner basis from our computed Gröbner basis. If we recall the definition of reduced Gröbner basis we will see that all leading co-efficients of the reduced basis should be 1. So we just divide terms $-2x_2^4, x_2^3 - x_1x_2^2$ of $\{x_1^2 + x_2^2,\ x_2^3 - x_1x_2^2,\ -2x_2^4\}$ by -2 and -1 respectively to obtain $\{x_1^2 + x_2^2,\ x_1x_2^2 - x_2^3,\ x_2^4\}$. Indeed x_2^2 and x_2^3 are normal monomials. So we have obtained the reduced Gröbner basis of I.

3.6.3 Non-commutative examples

Example 3.6.2. Let us consider $\mathbb{K}\langle x, y\rangle$ as non-commutative polynomial algebra in two variables. We are going to compute Gröbner basis for $I = (x^2 - xy)$. So we begin with our set G as $\{x^2 - xy\}$ whose leading term is x^2 (we consider the **DEGLEX** for this case i.e. here $x > y$). We need to compute S-polynomial between polynomial $f_1 = x^2 - xy$ and $f_2 = x$. We have $x^2 \cdot x = x \cdot x^2$. Then

$$S(f_1, f_2) = (x^2 - xy)x - x(x^2 - xy)$$

$$= xxy - xyx.$$

We see that $xxy - xyx$ whose leading term is xxy can be reduced further. So we have $xxy = x^2 \cdot y$. So the reduction is

$$(xxy - xyx) - (x^2 - xy)y$$

$$= xxy - xyx - x^2y + xyy$$

$$= xyy - xyx$$

which cannot be reduced further. so we include $xyy - xyx$ in our set G which is now $\{x^2 - xy, xyy - xyx\}$. We see that $x^2 \cdot yx = x \cdot xyx$, hence we compute S-polynomial between those elements of our set G and we get

$$(x^2 - xy)yx + x(xyy - xyx)$$

$$= x^2yx - xyyx + xxyy - xxyx$$

$$= xxyy - xyyx$$

this element with leading term $xxyy$ can be reduced further and we get $xxyy = x^2 \cdot yy$. So the reduction gives

$$(xxyy - xyyx) - (x^2 - xy)yy$$

$$= xxyy - xyyx - x^2yy + xyyy$$

$$= xyyy - xyyx$$

which cannot be reduced further and we add this term in our existing set G and obtain $\{x^2 - xy, xyy - xyx, xyyy - xyyx\}$.
Now we claim that the Gröbner basis for $I = (x^2 - xy)$ is given by

$$\{x^2 - xy\} \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} \{xy^i - xy^{i-1}x\}.$$

This is indeed very easy to prove. We will construct elements of $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty}\{xy^i - xy^{i-1}x\}$ by method of induction. We have already shown for $i = 2, 3$.
Suppose up to $i = k$ steps the set G is

$$\{x^2 - xy\} \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^{k} \{xy^i - xy^{i-1}x\}.$$

But none of $\{xy^i - xy^{i-1}x\}$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$ have S-polynomial between them, so $\mathrm{LT}(xy^k - xy^{k-1}x) = xy^{k-1}x$ and we have $x^2 \cdot y^{k-1}x = x \cdot xy^{k-1}x$ which leads us to compute the s-polynomial between $x^2 - xy$ and $xy^k - xy^{k-1}x$ and we get

$$(x^2 - xy)y^{k-1}x + x(xy^k - xy^{k-1}x)$$

$$= x^2y^{k-1}x - xy^kx + x^2y^k - x^2y^{k-1}x$$

$$= x^2y^k - xy^kx$$

which has the leading term x^2y^k which can be reduced further. We get $x^2y^k = x^2 \cdot y^k$. Hence the reduction is

$$(x^2y^k - xy^kx) - (x^2 - xy)y^k$$

$$= xy^{k+1} - xy^k x$$

which cannot be reduced further and so we add the term $xy^{k+1} - xy^k x$ in our existing set G. Hence the induction is complete. Therefore

$$\{x^2 - xy\} \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} \{xy^i - xy^{i-1}x\}.$$

is our Gröbner basis.

Example 3.6.3. Let us give another example to compute Gröbner basis for a non-commutative polynomial algebra. Consider $\mathbb{K}\langle x, y, z\rangle$ as our non-commutative polynomial algebra. We are going to compute Gröbner basis for $I = (x^2, xy - zx)$. We will consider **DEGLEX** ordering, so we consider $x > y > z$. The leading terms of x^2 and $xy - zx$ are x^2 and xy respectively and both of them cannot be reduced further. So our initial set G is $\{x^2, xy - zx\}$. However we can compute S-polynomial between them based on $x^2 \cdot y = x \cdot xy$ and obtain

$$x^2 y - x(xy - zx)$$

$$= xzx$$

which cannot be reduced further and so include it in our set G. So now our set G is $\{x^2, xy - zx, xzx\}$. We can compute S-polynomial between $xy - zx$ and xzx. We have $xzx \cdot y = xz \cdot xy$ and so our S-polynomial is

$$(xzx)y - xz(xy - zx)$$

$$= xzzx$$

which cannot be reduced further so we add it to G and get $\{x^2, xy - zx, xzx, xzzx\}$. This is how we proceed and claim that Gröbner basis for I is given by

$$\{x^2, xy - zx\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{xz^i x\}.$$

We can prove this using the similar argument we have used in the previous example by method of induction.

4 Anick's Resolution and Its Computations

4.1 Chain and their examples

Let us begin the discussion of Anick's resolution[3] with some background materials:

4.1.1 Calculating Hilbert series through chains

Lemma 4.1.1. *If A is an algebra with space spanned by normal words N, then $H_A = H_N$.*

Proof. A is the free associative algebra, modulo some ideal I. The free associative algebra itself decomposes into $N \oplus I$, so it's Hilbert series can be written as $H_N + H_I$. Taking modulo the ideal I to the free algebra turns the free algebra into our algebra A, and eliminates the H_I term. So we obtain $H_A = H_N$ as required. □

Let $A = \mathbb{K}\langle X \mid R\rangle$ be an algebra where X is the set of generators and R is the set of relations. Let the set of normal words be N, and say we have a reduced Gröbner basis G. Let F be the set of leading terms of G - we call the elements of F *leading terms*. A word is normal with respect to G if and only if it does not contain any of the elements of F as a subword. Thus a word s is normal with respect to G if and only if it's normal with respect to F. Therefore the algebra $\hat{A} = \mathbb{K}\langle X \mid F\rangle$ has the same normal words as A. And so $H_A = H_{\hat{A}}$. The advantage of dealing with F instead of G is that F consists of monomials only, which makes it much easier to deal with.

Definition 4.1.1 (Chains)**.** We will define chain[3] inductively: A (-1) - chain is the empty word and is its own tail. The 0-chains are the elements of the generating set X, and are also their own tails. A n-chain is a word f of the form gt, with some conditions on g and t. Firstly, g must be a $(n-1)$-chain and t is a normal word. Secondly, if r is the tail of g then $\deg_F rt = 1$; that is, the word rt contains exactly one element of F as a subword. This subword must occur at the end of rt. The tail of gt is defined to be t.
We denote the space spanned by n-chains by C_n.

Example 4.1.1. Let $F = \{x^3\}$. The unique 1-chain is $x^3 = x \cdot x^2$ and its tail is x^2. Then the unique 2-chain is $\overline{xxxx} = x^3 \cdot x$. The word $x^3 \cdot x^2$ is not a 2-chain, since $\deg_F x^2x^2 = 2$. The unique 3-chain is the word $x^6 = x^4x^2$. The word $x^5 = x^4x$ is not a 3-chain because $\deg_F x \cdot x = 0$, regardless of the fact that it can be represented $(xxxxx)$ as a link of three leading terms $\overline{xxx}$ (the fact is that the first one intersects with the last one).
In general the n-chain is given by x^{3m+1} if $n = 2m$ and x^{3m} if $n = 2m+1$. We see that in this case for every n there exists only one n-chain.

Theorem 4.1.1. *Let*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} A_n \xrightarrow{d_n} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{k+1}} A_k \xrightarrow{d_k} K \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of graded spaces (i.e $\ker d_i = \operatorname{Im} d_{i+1}$*). Then*

$$\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} (-1)^i H_{A_i} = (-1)^k$$

if the sum is well defined.

Proof. We know that if $f : V \to W$ is any linear transformation such that $f(V_n) \subset f(W_n)$, then $H_V = H_{\ker f} + H_{\operatorname{Im} f}$. So

$$H_{A_i} = H_{\ker d_i} + H_{\operatorname{Im} d_i} = H_{\operatorname{Im} d_{i+1}} + H_{\operatorname{Im} d_i},$$

where the second equality comes from exactness. Thus taking the alternating sum of these equalities, we get our required result. □

Theorem 4.1.2 (Hilbert series from chains)**.** *Let A is an algebra and C_n be the linear span of n-chains. We point out that*

$$H_{C_{-1}} = H_K = 1; \quad H_{C_0} = H_X; \quad H_{C_1} = H_F.$$

Then

$$H_A = (H_{C_{-1}} - H_{C_0} + H_{C_1} - H_{C_2} + \dots)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let $\hat{A} = \mathbb{K}\langle X \mid F\rangle$ where F is our set of leading terms. Let $d_n : C_n \otimes \hat{A} \to C_{n-1} \otimes \hat{A}$ be defined by $d_n(gt \otimes a) = g \otimes ta$. Then $d_n(d_{n+1}(gt \otimes a)) = d_n(g \otimes ta) = g' \otimes t'ta$, where $g \in C_n$, $g' \in C_{n-1}$. By the definition of n-chains it follows that there must be a leading term in $t'ta$, so this is 0.
Also d_n is a surjection, as for every $g \otimes a \in C_{n-1} \otimes \hat{A}$, we can decompose a as $a_1 a_2$ such that $ga_1 \otimes a_2 \in C_n \otimes \hat{A}$. So we have an exact sequence:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} C_n \otimes \hat{A} \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1} \otimes \hat{A} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_0} C_{-1} \otimes \hat{A} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0$$

So by theorem 4.1.1

$$\sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} (-1)^i H_{C_i \otimes \hat{A}} = -1.$$

Now $H_{C_i \otimes \hat{A}} = H_{C_i} H_{\hat{A}} = H_{C_i} H_A$. Hence

$$H_A = (H_{C_{-1}} - H_{C_0} + H_{C_1} - H_{C_2} + \dots)^{-1}.$$

□

Example 4.1.2. Let $A = \mathbb{K}\langle x, y \mid x^2 + y^2\rangle$. The leading terms of A are x^2 and xy^2 (the Gröbner basis of A is $\{x^2 + y^2, xy^2 - y^2x\}$). We have found that the n-chains are given by $x^n y^2$ and x^{n+1}, for $n > 0$. So we have,

$$H_A^{-1} = (1 - 2t + (t^2 + t^3) - (t^3 + t^4) + \dots) = 1 - 2t + t^2.$$

Hence

$$H_A = \frac{1}{1 - 2t + t^2}.$$

4.2 Anick's resolution

In the proof of theorem 4.1.2, we have constructed a resolution for the normal words of our algebra. This allows us to find the Hilbert series for our normal words and hence for our original algebra. However this resolution only depends on normal words, so the rest of the structure of our algebra is lost. If we want more properties of the algebra then this leads to a construction of a resolution of the algebra itself. Along with this fact, that sequence and isomorphism of spaces with graduations $C_n \otimes \hat{A}$ and $C_n \otimes A$ leads us to think about the existence of a corresponding free resolution which was constructed by Anick in 1986 [15].
We will now state the resolution in form of a theorem. Though the resolution itself looks complicated but it will be clear with computations in various examples.

Remark 4.2.1. Let f be a word. By $\overline{f}$ we denote the reduction of the word to a normal form. If a word f cannot be reduced to a normal word and contain a leading term as a subword inside it then we write $\overline{f} = 0$.

Theorem 4.2.1 (Anick's resolution)**.** *Let A be a graded algebra with augmentation (i.e. there exists an augmentation map $\epsilon : A \to K$), and let C_n be the set of n-chains. We have a resolution of A of the following form:*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} C_n \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1} \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} C_{n-2} \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} \dots$$

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1 \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_0} C_{-1} \otimes A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} K \longrightarrow 0$$

with splitting inverse maps $i_n : \ker d_{n-1} \to C_n \otimes A$ (which, unlike d_n need not to be homomorphisms of modules). Where:

- $d_0(x \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes x$.
- $i_{-1}(1) = 1 \otimes 1$.
- $i_0(1 \otimes x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_n}) = x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_n}$.
- $d_{n+1}(gt \otimes 1) = g \otimes t - i_n d_n(g \otimes t)$ *for all $(n+1)$-chains gt, with tail t.*

- $i_n(u) = \alpha g \otimes c + i_n(u - \alpha d_n(g \otimes c))$ *for all* $u \in \ker d_{n-1}$ *with leading term* $f \otimes s$*, where* $\overline{fs} = \overline{gc}$*, and* f *is a* $(n-1)$*-chain and* g *is a* n*-chain. The bar over* fs *and* gc *denotes reduction of them to normal forms.*

Remark 4.2.2. We define d_n on free generators $f \otimes 1$ where f is a n-chain and equals to gt.

Proof. We will construct (d_{n+1}, i_n) by induction. Note that we only need to define i_n on the kernel of d_{n-1}. Our induction hypothesis follows in 2 parts:

1. $d_{n-1}(d_n(u)) = 0$ for all u.
2. $d_{n-1}(i_{n-1}(u)) = 0$ for all $u \in \ker d_{n-2}$.

We proceed by induction on the order of leading terms. Now we note that because of isomorphism from C_nN to $C_n \otimes N$, the following partial order is defined:

$$f \otimes t < g \otimes s \iff ft < gs.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(i_n(u)) &= d_n(g \otimes c + i_n(u - \alpha d_n(g \otimes c))) \\ &= d_n(\alpha g \otimes c) + d_n(i_n(u - \alpha d_n(g \otimes c))). \end{aligned}$$

Now we can verify that $u - \alpha d_n(g \otimes c)$ has a smaller leading term. This is not hard to check, but is slightly lengthy, and so would clutter this already complicated proof.

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(i_n(u)) &= d_n(\alpha g \otimes c) + d_n(i_n(u - \alpha d_n(g \otimes c))) \\ &= d_n(\alpha g \otimes c) + u - \alpha d_n(g \otimes c) \\ &= u. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(d_{n+1}(gt \otimes 1) &= d_n(g \otimes t - i_n d_n(g \otimes t)) \\ &= d_n(g \otimes t) - d_n(i_n(d_n(g \otimes t))) \\ &= d_n(g \otimes t) - d_n(g \otimes t) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we need the fact that $d_n(u)$ is in $\ker d_{n-1}$, and we use the result we proved a moment ago.
Finally, d_0,d_1,i_{-1} and i_0 satisfy the induction hypotheses, so by induction the two hypotheses hold true for all n. Together, they imply that the constructed sequence is a resolution. □

4.3 Computation of Anick's resolution

Example 4.3.1. We will compute Anick's resolution for algebra

$$A = \mathbb{K}\langle x, y \mid x^2 = xy\rangle,$$

given DEGLEX ordering with $x > y$.
The Gröbner basis of algebra A is given by $\{xy^n x - xy^{n+1} \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}$. Hence the set of leading terms of the Gröbner basis is given by $\{xy^n x \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}$, which is our F or C_1.

Lemma 4.3.1. *The space spanned by n-chains, C_n are of the form given in a tabular form:*

Chain	*Form*
C_1	$xy^{n_1}x$; *for all* $n_1 \geq 0$
C_2	$xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x$; *for all* $n_1, n_2 \geq 0$
C_3	$xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}xy^{n_3}x$; *for all* $n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 0$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
C_k	$xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \dots y^{n_k}x$; *for all* $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$

Let us begin with the construction of maps.
As defined $d_0(x \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes x$ and $d_0(y \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes y$.
Now $d_1 : C_1 \otimes A \to C_0 \otimes A$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(xy^{n_1}x \otimes 1) &= x \otimes y^{n_1}x - i_0 d_0(x \otimes y^{n_1}x) \\ &= x \otimes y^{n_1}x - i_0(1 \otimes \overline{xy^{n_1}x}) \\ &= x \otimes y^{n_1}x - i_0(1 \otimes xy^{n_1+1}) \\ &= x \otimes y^{n_1}x - x \otimes y^{n_1+1} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$d_2 : C_2 \otimes A \to C_1 \otimes A$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \otimes 1) &= xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2}x - i_1 d_1(xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2}x) \\ &= xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2}x - i_1(x \otimes \overline{y^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x} - x \otimes \overline{y^{n_1+n_2+1}}x) \\ &= xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2}x - i_1(x \otimes y^{n_1}xy^{n_2+1} - x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+1}x) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We now deal with $i_1(x \otimes y^{n_1}xy^{n_2+1} - x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+1}x)$. We see that $x \otimes y^{n_1}xy^{n_2+1}$ is our leading term in $(x \otimes y^{n_1}xy^{n_2+1} - x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+1}x)$, and x is a 0-chain. We require a 1-chain for our formula for i_1 and indeed it is $xy^{n_1}x$, hence proceeding we get,

$$i_1(x \otimes y^{n_1}xy^{n_2+1} - x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+1}x) =$$

$$xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+1}+$$

$$i_1(x \otimes y^{n_1}xy^{n_2+1} - x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+1}x - d_1(xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+1}))$$

$$= xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+1} + i_1(-x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+1}x + x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+2}) \quad (12)$$

(Now we observe that $x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+1}x$ is the leading term in $(-x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+1}x + x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+2})$ so we will deal with that term and as discussed earlier x is 0-chain so $xy^{n_1+n_2+1}$ is our 1-chain and proceeding with the formula for i_1 we have obtained). Continuing with equation (12),

$$xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+1} - xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes 1+$$

$$i_1(-x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+1}x + x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+2} + d_1(xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes 1))$$

Now

$$d_1(xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes 1) = x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+1}x - x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+2}$$

(obtained from the formula for d_1 in equation (10)), hence putting it in our main equation we get

$$i_1(-x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+1}x + x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+2} + d_1(xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes 1)) = 0.$$

Hence

$$i_1(x \otimes y^{n_1}xy^{n_2+1} - x \otimes y^{n_1+n_2+1}x) = xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+1} - xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes 1$$

putting it in equation (11) we get,

$$d_2(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \otimes 1) = xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2}x - xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+1} + xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes 1 \quad (13)$$

We now work out the formula for

$$d_3 : C_3 \otimes A \to C_2 \otimes A.$$

$$d_3(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}xy^{n_3}x \otimes 1)$$

$$= xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \otimes y^{n_3}x - i_2d_2(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \otimes y^{n_3}x) \quad (14)$$

We will deal with $i_2d_2(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \otimes y^{n_3}x)$. Now

$$i_2d_2(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \otimes y^{n_3}x) =$$

$$i_2(xy^{n_1}x \otimes \overline{y^{n_2}xy^{n_3}x} - xy^{n_1}x \otimes \overline{y^{n_2+n_3+1}x} + xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3}x)$$

$$= i_2(xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2}xy^{n_3+1} - xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+n_3+1}x + xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3}x) \quad (15)$$

We see that $xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}xy^{n_3+1}$ is the leading term and $xy^{n_1}x$ is a 1-chain, so $xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x$ will be a 2-chain satisfying our requirement, hence proceeding with equation (15) we get,

$$xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \otimes y^{n_3+1}+$$

$$i_2(xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2}xy^{n_3+1} - xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+n_3+1}x + xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3}x$$
$$-d_2(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \otimes y^{n_3+1}))$$

Now

$$d_2(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \otimes y^{n_3+1}) = xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2}xy^{n_3+1} - xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+n_3+2}$$
$$+xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3+1}$$

putting the value of d_2 back in i_2 and cancelling the term $xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2}xy^{n_3+1}$ we get,

$$i_2(-xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+n_3+1}x + xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3}x$$
$$+ xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+n_3+2} - xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3+1}) \tag{16}$$

So $xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2+n_3+1}x$ from $xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+n_3+1}x$ is our leading term and so we proceed with equation (16) and get,

$$-xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2+n_3+1}x \otimes 1$$
$$+i_2(-xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+n_3+1}x + xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3}x$$
$$+xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+n_3+2} - xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3+1} + d_2(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2+n_3+1}x \otimes 1))$$

We have

$$d_2(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2+n_3+1}x \otimes 1) = xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+n_3+1}x - xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+n_3+2}$$
$$+xy^{n_1+n_2+n_3+2}x \otimes 1$$

so putting the value of d_2 we get terms $xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+n_3+1}x$ and $xy^{n_1}x \otimes y^{n_2+n_3+2}$ get cancelled and we have,

$$i_2(xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3}x - xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3+1} + xy^{n_1+n_2+n_3+2}x \otimes 1)$$
$$= xy^{n_1+n_2+1}xy^{n_3}x \otimes 1$$
$$+i_2(xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3}x - xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3+1} + xy^{n_1+n_2+n_3+2}x \otimes 1$$
$$-d_2(xy^{n_1+n_2+1}xy^{n_3}x \otimes 1))$$

Now

$$d_2(xy^{n_1+n_2+1}xy^{n_3}x \otimes 1) = -xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3}x + xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x \otimes y^{n_3+1}$$
$$-xy^{n_1+n_2+n_3+2}x \otimes 1$$

so all terms get cancelled and we left with $xy^{n_1+n_2+1}xy^{n_3}x \otimes 1$. Putting it in equation (14) we get,

$$d_3(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}xy^{n_3}x \otimes 1) =$$
$$xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \otimes y^{n_3}x - xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \otimes y^{n_3+1}$$
$$+ xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2+n_3+1}x \otimes 1 - xy^{n_1+n_2+1}xy^{n_3}x \otimes 1 \tag{17}$$

Proposition 4.3.1.

$$d_k : C_k \otimes A \to C_{k-1} \otimes A$$

for $k \geq 2$ is given by

$$d_k(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \dots y^{n_k}x \otimes 1) =$$

$$xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \dots y^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k}x - xy^{n_1}x \dots y^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k+1}$$

$$xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \dots y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+1}x \otimes 1 - xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \dots y^{n_{k-2}+n_{k-1}+1}xy^{n_k}x \otimes 1$$

$$+ \dots + (-1)^{k-1}xy^{n_1+n_2+1}xy^{n_3}x \dots y^{n_k}x \otimes 1 \tag{18}$$

Proof. We prove the general formula given by equation (18) by method of induction.
We have already done for $k = 2, 3$ given in equation (13) and (17) respectively. We assume that up to $k-1$ the formula is valid i.e.

$$d_{k-1} : C_{k-1} \otimes A \to C_{k-2} \otimes A$$

is given by

$$d_{k-1}(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2} \dots xy^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes 1) =$$

$$xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2} \dots xy^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}}x - xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2} \dots xy^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}+1}$$

$$+xy^{n_1}x \dots y^{n_{k-2}+n_{k-1}+1}x \otimes 1 - xy^{n_1}x \dots y^{n_{k-3}+n_{k-2}+1}xy^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes 1$$

$$+ \dots + (-1)^{k-2}xy^{n_1+n_2+1}xy^{n_3} \dots y^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes 1$$

We will find the formula for $d_k : C_k \otimes A \to C_{k-1} \otimes A$ using the previous data.

$$d_k(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x \dots y^{n_k}x \otimes 1)$$

$$= xy^{n_1}x \dots y^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k}x - i_{k-1}d_{k-1}(xy^{n_1}x \dots y^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k}x) \tag{19}$$

As by our previous formula for d_{k-1} we have,

$$d_{k-1}(xy^{n_1}x \dots y^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k}x) =$$

$$xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2} \dots y^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}}xy^{n_k+1} - xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2} \dots y^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+1}x$$

$$+xy^{n_1}x \dots xy^{n_{k-2}+n_{k-1}+1}x \otimes y^{n_k}x + \dots$$

$$\dots + (-1)^{k-2}xy^{n_1+n_2+1}xy^{n_3} \dots xy^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k}x$$

putting this value in equation (19) we have

$$i_{k-1}(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2} \dots y^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}}xy^{n_k+1}$$

$$-xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2} \dots y^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+1}x + xy^{n_1}x \dots xy^{n_{k-2}+n_{k-1}+1}x \otimes y^{n_k}x + \dots$$

$$+(-1)^{k-2}xy^{n_1+n_2+1}xy^{n_3} \dots xy^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k}x)$$

we have $xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}\dots y^{n_{k-2}}xy^{n_{k-1}}xy^{n_k+1}$ as our leading term and so,

$$= xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}\dots y^{n_{k-2}}xy^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k+1}$$

$$+i_{k-1}(\text{all previous terms of } i_{k-1} - d_{k-1}(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}\dots y^{n_{k-2}}xy^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k+1})) \tag{20}$$

Now

$$d_{k-1}(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}\dots y^{n_{k-2}}xy^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k+1}) =$$
$$xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}\dots y^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}}xy^{n_k+1} - xy^{n_1}x\dots y^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+2}$$
$$+xy^{n_1}x\dots xy^{n_{k-2}+n-k-1+1}x \otimes y^{n_k+1} - \dots$$
$$\dots + (-1)^{k-2}xy^{n_1+n_2+1}xy^{n_3}\dots y^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k+1}$$

putting this value of d_{k-1} in equation (20) we see that $xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}\dots y^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}}xy^{n_k+1}$ term is getting cancelled and we proceed with remaining terms of i_{k-1}.

So we have noticed that when we apply i_{k-1} for the first time total 2 terms get cancelled and we left with $(k-1)+(k-1) = 2(k-1)$ terms. Now

$$i_{k-1}(-xy^{n_1}x\dots y^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+1}x + xy^{n_1}x\dots y^{n_{k-2}+n_{k-1}+1}x \otimes y^{n_k}x$$
$$-\dots + (-1)^{k-2}xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x\dots y^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k}x$$
$$+xy^{n_1}\dots xy^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+2} - \dots$$
$$+\dots + (-1)^{k-2}xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x\dots y^{n_{k-1}}x \otimes y^{n_k+1})$$

We have $-xy^{n_1}x\dots y^{n_{k-2}}xy^{n_{k-1}+n_k+1}x$ as our leading term and hence

$$= -xy^{n_1}x\dots y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+1}x \otimes 1$$

$$+i_{k-1}(\text{all terms from previous } i_{k-1} + d_{k-1}(xy^{n_1}x\dots y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+1}x \otimes 1)) \tag{21}$$

We have

$$d_{k-1}(xy^{n_1}x\dots y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+1}x \otimes 1) = xy^{n_1}\dots y^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+1}x$$
$$-xy^{n_1}\dots y^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+2} + \dots$$
$$\dots + (-1)^{k-2}xy^{n_1+n_2+1}x\dots y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+1}x \otimes 1$$

putting the value in equation (21) we get that $xy^{n_1}\dots y^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+1}x$ and $xy^{n_1}\dots y^{n_{k-2}}x \otimes y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+2}$ get cancelled and we left with remaining terms to proceed.

So here after second application of i_{k-1} we observe that total 4 terms get canceled and we left with $(3k-2)$ number of terms.

We proceed with the rest of the structure of i_{k-1} and here after 3rd application of it observe that total 6 terms get canceled and we left with $4(k-3)$ number of terms. We will make a tabular form which will easily show us how many terms get cancelled after each i_{k-1} operation and the remaining terms.

Number of use of i_{k-1}	Total canceled terms	remaining terms for next i_{k-1}
1	2	$2(k-1)$
2	4	$3(k-2)$
3	6	$4(k-3)$
4	8	$5(k-4)$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
k	$2k$	$k+1(k-k)=0$

So we observe that after k steps of using i_{k-1} we actually cancel all terms in the last used i_{k-1} and so left with no terms. In each of these k steps we get 1 term (like in equation (19),(20),(21) etc) which will go to our main formula of d_k and so

$$d_k(xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x\dots y^{n_k}x\otimes 1)=$$

$$xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x\dots y^{n_{k-1}}x\otimes y^{n_k}x - xy^{n_1}x\dots y^{n_{k-1}}x\otimes y^{n_k+1}$$

$$xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x\dots y^{n_{k-1}+n_k+1}x\otimes 1 - xy^{n_1}xy^{n_2}x\dots y^{n_{k-2}+n_{k-1}+1}xy^{n_k}x\otimes 1$$

$$+\dots+(-1)^{k-1}xy^{n_1+n_2+1}xy^{n_3}x\dots y^{n_k}x\otimes 1.$$

Our induction is complete and hence the proof. □

4.4 Computation of Anick's resolution for algebra B_n

Conner and Goetz in their paper *A_∞-algebra structures associated to K_2 algebras*[8] define algebra B_n for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Algebra B_n for each n is a K_2 algebra which was recently introduced by Cassidy and Shelton[10] as a generalization of notion of Koszul algebra[7] .
Algebra B_n consists of a set of generators $\{a_0,b_0,c_0,a_1,b_1,c_1,\dots,a_n,b_n,c_n\}$ and a set of relations given by

$$\{a_nb_nc_n,c_0a_0\}\cup\{a_ib_ic_i+c_{i+1}a_{i+1}b_{i+1},b_{i+1}c_{i+1}a_{i+1},c_ic_{i+1},b_{i+1}a_i\}_{0\le i<n}$$

We take the DEGLEX order with

$$a_n>b_n>c_n>a_{n-1}>b_{n-1}>c_{n-1}>\dots>a_1>b_1>c_1>a_0>b_0>c_0$$

Lemma 4.4.1. *The reduced Gröbner basis of B_n is given by the set of it's relations described above.*

Proof. Let us denote the set of generators of algebra B_n by X and set of relations by R. The basis X generates a free semigroup $\langle X\rangle$ with 1 under the multiplication in $T(V)$, where V is the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space with basis X and $T(V)$ is the tensor algebra. We have the canonical quotient map $\pi_{B_n}:T(V)\to B_n$ of graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebras. Let $R'\subset\langle X\rangle$ be the set

$$\{a_nb_nc_n,c_0a_0\}\cup\{c_{i+1}a_{i+1}b_{i+1},b_{i+1}c_{i+1}a_{i+1},c_ic_{i+1},b_{i+1}a_i\}_{0\le i<n}$$

and

$$\langle R' \rangle = \{AWB \mid A, B \in \langle X \rangle, W \in R'\}$$

Then the following proposition is a straightforward application of Bergman's Diamond Lemma [11].

Proposition 4.4.1. *The image under π_{B_n} of $\langle X \rangle - \langle R' \rangle$, the set of tensors which do not contain any element of R', gives a monomial $\mathbb{K}$-basis for B_n.*

Proposition 4.4.1 implies that R is the reduced Gröbner basis of algebra B_n [9]. For more details of the proof we refer to [8]. □

Using the Gröbner basis for B_n we now start computing Anick's resolution for B_1, B_2 and will later reach the general formula for B_n.
Let us begin with the computation for B_1:

4.4.1 Case $n = 1$

Algebra B_1 is given by the set of generators $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, a_1, b_1, c_1\}$ and the set of relations

$$\{a_1b_1c_1, c_0a_0, a_0b_0c_0 + c_1a_1b_1, b_1c_1a_1, c_0c_1, b_1a_0\}.$$

Lemma 4.4.1 suggests that the Gröbner basis for B_1 is given by the set of relations, and so the set of leading words F or 1-chain C_1 are

$$a_1b_1c_1;\ \ c_0a_0;\ \ c_1a_1b_1;\ \ b_1c_1a_1;\ \ c_0c_1;\ \ b_1a_0.$$

We cannot construct higher chains from c_0a_0 and b_1a_0 and so we proceed with the rest to construct 2-chains. So elements of C_2 are

$$a_1b_1c_1a_1;\ \ c_1a_1b_1c_1;\ \ c_1a_1b_1a_0;\ \ b_1c_1a_1b_1;\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1.$$

We observe that we cannot produce higher chains from $c_1a_1b_1a_0$ and so we will form C_3 from the remaining elements of C_2. So elements of C_3 are,

$$a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1;\ \ c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1;\ \ b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1;\ \ b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0;$$

$$c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1;\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1a_0$$

Elements of C_4 are,

$$a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1;\ \ c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1;\ \ c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0;$$

$$b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1;\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1$$

Elements of C_5 are,

$$a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1;\ \ c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1;\ \ b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0;$$

$$b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1;\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0;\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1.$$

Looking at the constructions of C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5 we construct the n-chain.

Lemma 4.4.2. *Elements of C_n are of the form:*
when n is odd i.e. $n = 2m + 1$:

$$a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1 \dots a_1b_1c_1; \ \ \textit{appearance of } a_1b_1c_1 = m+1 \ \textit{ times}$$

$$c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1; \ \ \textit{appearance of } c_1a_1b_1 = m+1 \ \textit{ times}$$

$$b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1; \ \ \textit{appearance of } b_1c_1a_1 = m+1 \ \textit{ times}$$

$$b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0; \ \ \textit{appearance of } b_1c_1a_1 = m \ \textit{ times}$$

$$c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1c_1; \ \ \textit{appearance of } c_1a_1b_1 = m \ \textit{ times}$$

$$c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_0; \ \ \textit{appearance of } c_1a_1b_1 = m \ \textit{ times.}$$

When n is even i.e. $n = 2m$:

$$a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1 \dots a_1b_1c_1a_1; \ \ \textit{appearance of } a_1b_1c_1 = m \ \textit{ times}$$

$$c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1c_1; \ \ \textit{appearance of } c_1a_1b_1 = m \ \textit{ times}$$

$$c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_0; \ \ \textit{appearance of } c_1a_1b_1 = m \ \textit{ times}$$

$$b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1b_1; \ \ \textit{appearance of } b_1a_1c_1 = m \ \textit{ times}$$

$$c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1; \ \ \textit{appearance of } c_1a_1b_1 = m \ \textit{ times.}$$

We have the chains, so we begin calculating d_n. We start with $d_1 : C_1 \otimes B_1 \to C_0 \otimes B_1$,

$$d_1(a_1b_1c_1 \otimes 1) = a_1 \otimes b_1c_1$$

$$d_1(c_0a_0 \otimes 1) = c_0 \otimes a_0$$

$$d_1(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 - a_0 \otimes b_0c_0$$

$$d_1(b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) = b_1 \otimes c_1a_1$$

$$d_1(c_0c_1 \otimes 1) = c_0 \otimes c_1$$

$$d_1(b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = b_1 \otimes a_0.$$

$d_2 : C_2 \otimes B_1 \to C_1 \otimes B_1$ is given by,

$$d_2(a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) = a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1 - i_1d_1(a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1)$$

$$= a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1 - i_1(a_1 \otimes \overline{b_1c_1a_1})$$

$$= a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1, \ \text{ as } b_1c_1a_1 \text{ cannot be reduced to a normal word.}$$

$$d_2(c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1 - i_1d_1(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1)$$

$$= c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1 - i_1(c_1 \otimes \overline{a_1b_1c_1} - a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0c_1})$$

$$= c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1$$

$$d_2(c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_1d_1(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0)$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_1(c_1 \otimes \overline{a_1b_1a_0} - a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0a_0})$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0$$
$$d_2(b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 - i_1d_1(b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1)$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 - i_1(b_1 \otimes \overline{c_1a_1b_1})$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + i_1(b_1 \otimes a_0b_0c_0)$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 + i_1(b_1 \otimes a_0b_0c_0 - d_1(b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0))$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0$$
$$d_2(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 - i_1d_1(c_0c_1 \otimes a_1b_1)$$
$$= c_0c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 - i_1(c_0 \otimes \overline{c_1a_1b_1})$$
$$= c_0c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + i_1(c_0 \otimes a_0b_0c_0)$$
$$= c_0c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + c_0a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 + i_1(c_0 \otimes a_0b_0c_0 - d_1(c_0a_0 \otimes b_0c_0))$$
$$= c_0c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + c_0a_0 \otimes b_0c_0$$

$d_3 : C_3 \otimes B_1 \to C_2 \otimes B_1$ is given by,

$$d_3(a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes 1) = a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1c_1 - i_2d_2(a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1c_1)$$
$$= a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1c_1 - i_2(a_1b_1c_1 \otimes \overline{a_1b_1c_1})$$
$$= a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1c_1$$
$$d_3(c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 - i_2d_2(c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1b_1)$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 - i_2(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes \overline{c_1a_1b_1})$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + i_2(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0b_0c_0)$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 + i_2(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0b_0c_0 - d_2(c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0))$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0$$
$$d_3(b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 - i_2d_2(b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1)$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 - i_2(b_1c_1a_1 \otimes \overline{b_1c_1a_1} + b_1a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0c_1a_1})$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1$$
$$d_3(b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_2d_2(b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0)$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_2(b_1c_1a_1 \otimes \overline{b_1a_0} + b_1a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0a_0})$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0$$
$$d_3(c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1 - i_2d_2(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1)$$
$$= c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1 - i_2(c_0c_1 \otimes \overline{a_1b_1c_1} + c_0a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0c_1}$$
$$= c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1$$
$$d_3(c_0c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_2d_2(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0)$$
$$= c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_2(c_0c_1 \otimes \overline{a_1b_1a_0} + c_0a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0a_0})$$
$$= c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0$$

$d_4 : C_4 \otimes B_1 \to C_3 \otimes B_1$ is given by,

$$d_4(a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) = a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1 - i_3d_3(a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1)$$
$$= a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1 - i_3(a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes \overline{b_1c_1a_1})$$
$$= a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1$$
$$d_4(c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1 - i_3d_3(c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1)$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1 - i_3(c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes \overline{a_1b_1c_1} + c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0c_1})$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1$$
$$d_4(b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 - i_3d_3(b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1)$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 - i_3(b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes \overline{c_1a_1b_1})$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 - i_3(b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0b_0c_0)$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 - i_3(b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0b_0c_0 - d_3(b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0))$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0$$
$$d_4(c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_3d_3(c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0)$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_3(c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes \overline{a_1b_1a_0} + c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0a_0})$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0$$
$$d_4(c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 - i_3d_3(c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1b_1)$$
$$= c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 - i_3(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes \overline{c_1a_1b_1})$$
$$= c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + c_0c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 + i_3(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0b_0c_0 - d_3(c_0c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0))$$
$$= c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + c_0c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0.$$

After observing the formula for d_1, d_2, d_3 and d_4 we can directly write the formula for d_n which is as follows:

Proposition 4.4.2.

$$d_n : C_n \otimes B_1 \to C_{n-1} \otimes B_1$$

When n is odd i.e. $n = 2m + 1$:

$$d_n(a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1 \dots a_1b_1c_1 \otimes 1) = a_1b_1c_1 \dots a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1c_1 \tag{22}$$

$$d_n(c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 \tag{23}$$

$$d_n(b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 \tag{24}$$

$$d_n(b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 \tag{25}$$

$$d_n(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1 \dots a_1b_1 \otimes c_1 \tag{26}$$

$$d_n(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0. \tag{27}$$

When n is even i.e. $n = 2m$:

$$d_n(a_1b_1c_1 \dots a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) = a_1b_1c_1 \dots a_1b_1c_1 \otimes a_1 \tag{28}$$

$$d_n(c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1c_1 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1 \tag{29}$$

$$d_n(c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 \tag{30}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) &= b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + \\ & b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) &= c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + \\ & c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_1 \otimes b_0c_0. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Proof. For both even and odd case we can easily prove the general formula d_n with the help of induction and combinatorics. □

4.4.2 Case $n = 2$

Algebra B_2 is given by 9 generators and 10 relations and so the Gröbner basis is given by:

$$\{a_2b_2c_2, c_0a_0, a_0b_0c_0 + c_1a_1b_1, a_1b_1c_1 + c_2a_2b_2, b_1c_1a_1\}$$

$$\cup\{b_2c_2a_2, c_0c_1, c_1c_2, b_1a_0, b_2a_1\}$$

So the elements of chain C_1 are

$$a_2b_2c_2,\ \ c_0a_0,\ \ c_1a_1b_1,\ \ c_2a_2b_2,\ \ b_1c_1a_1,$$

$$b_2c_2a_2,\ \ c_0c_1,\ \ c_1c_2,\ \ b_1a_0,\ \ b_2a_1$$

Elements of chain C_2 are

$$a_2b_2c_2a_2,\ \ c_1a_1b_1a_0,\ \ c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1,\ \ c_2a_2b_2c_2,$$

$$c_2a_2b_2a_1,\ \ b_1c_1a_1b_1,\ \ b_2c_2a_2b_2,\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1,\ \ c_1c_2a_2b_2$$

Similarly the elements of C_3 which are constructed from C_2 are,

$$a_2b_2c_2a_2b_2c_2,\ \ c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1,\ \ c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2b_2,\ \ b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0,$$

$$b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1,\ \ b_2c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2,\ \ b_2c_2a_2b_2a_1,\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1a_0,$$

$$c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1,\ \ c_1c_2a_2b_2a_1,\ \ c_1c_2a_2b_2c_2$$

The elements of C_4 are

$$a_2b_2c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2,\ \ c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0,\ \ c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1,$$

$$c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2b_2a_1,\ \ c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2b_2c_2,\ \ b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1,\ \ b_2c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2b_2,$$

$$c_1c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2b_2,\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1$$

Remark 4.4.1. In this subsection of computation of Anick's resolution of B_2 as well as in remaining subsections while computing Anick's resolution of B_n for $n = 3, \ldots$; when we will write an element of C_n like some $b_{k+1}a_kb_kc_k \ldots a_kb_kc_ka_{k-1}$, by '$\ldots$', it will imply the consecutive appearance of $a_kb_kc_k$, like one we described in algebra B_1.

Lemma 4.4.3. *We now write the general formula for elements of chain C_n. When n is odd elements of C_n are,*

$$a_2b_2c_2a_2b_2c_2 \ldots a_2b_2c_2,\ \ c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \ldots c_1a_1b_1,\ \ c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2b_2 \ldots c_2a_2b_2,$$

$$b_1c_1a_1 \ldots b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0,\ \ b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \ldots b_1c_1a_1,\ \ b_2c_2a_2 \ldots b_2c_2a_2,$$

$$b_2c_2a_2 \ldots b_2c_2a_2b_2a_1,\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \ldots c_1a_1b_1a_0,\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1 \ldots c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1,$$

$$c_1c_2a_2b_2 \ldots c_2a_2b_2a_1,\ \ c_1c_2a_2b_2 \ldots c_2a_2b_2c_2.$$

When n is even, elements of C_n are,

$$a_2b_2c_2 \ldots a_2b_2c_2a_2,\ \ c_1a_1b_1 \ldots c_1a_1b_1a_0,\ \ c_1a_1b_1 \ldots c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1,$$

$$b_1c_1a_1 \ldots b_1c_1a_1b_1,\ \ b_2c_2a_2 \ldots b_2c_2a_2b_2,\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1 \ldots c_1a_1b_1,$$

$$c_1c_2a_2b_2 \ldots c_2a_2b_2,\ \ c_2a_2b_2 \ldots c_2a_2b_2c_2,\ \ c_2a_2b_2 \ldots c_2a_2b_2a_1.$$

Now we will start our computations of Anick's resolution.

$$d_1 : C_1 \otimes B_2 \to C_0 \otimes B_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(a_2b_2c_2 \otimes 1) &= a_2 \otimes b_2c_2 - i_0d_0(a_2 \otimes b_2c_2) \\ &= a_2 \otimes b_2c_2 - i_0(1 \otimes \overline{a_2b_2c_2}) \\ &= a_2 \otimes b_2c_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$d_1(c_0a_0 \otimes 1) = c_0 \otimes a_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) &= c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 - i_0d_0(c_1 \otimes a_1b_1) \\ &= c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 - i_0(1 \otimes \overline{c_1a_1b_1}) \\ &= c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$d_1(c_2a_2b_2 \otimes 1) = c_2 \otimes a_2b_2 + a_1 \otimes b_1c_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) &= b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 - i_0d_0(b_1 \otimes c_1a_1) \\ &= b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 - i_0(1 \otimes \overline{b_1c_1a_1}) \\ &= b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$d_1(b_2c_2a_2 \otimes 1) = b_2 \otimes c_2a_2$$

$$d_1(c_0c_1 \otimes 1) = c_0 \otimes c_1$$

$$d_1(c_1c_2 \otimes 1) = c_1 \otimes c_2$$

$$d_1(b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = b_1 \otimes a_0$$

$$d_1(b_2a_1 \otimes 1) = b_2 \otimes a_1.$$

$$d_2 : C_2 \otimes B_2 \to C_1 \otimes B_2$$

are given by,

$$d_2(a_2b_2c_2a_2 \otimes 1) = a_2b_2c_2 \otimes a_2 - i_1d_1(a_2b_2c_2 \otimes a_2)$$

$$= a_2b_2c_2 \otimes a_2 - i_1(a_2 \otimes \overline{b_2c_2a_2})$$

$$= a_2b_2c_2 \otimes a_2$$

$$d_2(c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_1d_1(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0)$$

$$= c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_1(c_1 \otimes \overline{a_1b_1a_0} + a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0a_0})$$

$$= c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0$$

$$d_2(c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 - i_1d_1(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1)$$

$$= c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 - i_1(c_1 \otimes \overline{a_1b_1c_1a_1} + a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0c_1a_1})$$

$$= c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1$$

$$d_2(c_2a_2b_2c_2 \otimes 1) = c_2a_2b_2 \otimes c_2 - i_1d_1(c_2a_2b_2 \otimes c_2)$$

$$= c_2a_2b_2 \otimes c_2 - i_1(c_2 \otimes \overline{a_2b_2c_2} + a_1 \otimes \overline{b_1c_1c_2})$$

$$= c_2a_2b_2 \otimes c_2$$

$$d_2(c_2a_2b_2a_1 \otimes 1) = c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1 - i_1d_1(c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1)$$

$$= c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1 - i_1(c_2 \otimes \overline{a_2b_2a_1} + a_1 \otimes \overline{b_1c_1a_1})$$

$$= c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1$$

$$d_2(b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 - i_1d_1(b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1)$$

$$= b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 - i_1(b_1 \otimes \overline{c_1a_1b_1})$$

$$= b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + i_1(b_1 \otimes a_0b_0c_0)$$

$$= b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 + i_1(b_1 \otimes a_0b_0c_0 - d_1(b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0))$$

$$= b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0$$

Similarly we can formulate for $d_2(b_2c_2a_2b_2 \otimes 1)$ like the previous one,

$$d_2(b_2c_2a_2b_2 \otimes 1) = b_2c_2a_2 \otimes b_2 + b_2a_1 \otimes b_1c_1$$

$$d_2(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 - i_1d_1(c_0c_1 \otimes a_1b_1)$$

$$= c_0c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 - i_1(c_0 \otimes \overline{c_1a_1b_1})$$

$$= c_0c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + c_0a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 + i_1(c_0 \otimes a_0b_0c_0 - d_1(c_0a_0 \otimes b_0c_0))$$

$$= c_0c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + c_0a_0 \otimes b_0c_0$$

$$d_2(c_1c_2a_2b_2 \otimes 1) = c_1c_2 \otimes a_2b_2 - i_1d_1(c_1c_2 \otimes a_2b_2)$$
$$= c_1c_2 \otimes a_2b_2 - i_1(c_1 \otimes \overline{c_2a_2b_2})$$
$$= c_1c_2 \otimes a_2b_2 + i_1(c_1 \otimes a_1b_1c_1)$$
$$= c_1c_2 \otimes a_2b_2 + c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1 + i_1(c_1 \otimes a_1b_1c_1 - d_1(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1))$$
$$= c_1c_2 \otimes a_2b_2 + c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1.$$

Now

$$d_3 : C_3 \otimes B_2 \to C_2 \otimes B_2$$

are given by,

$$d_3(a_2b_2c_2a_2b_2c_2 \otimes 1) = a_2b_2c_2a_2 \otimes b_2c_2 - i_2d_2(a_2b_2c_2a_2 \otimes b_2c_2)$$
$$= a_2b_2c_2a_2 \otimes b_2c_2 - i_2(a_2b_2c_2 \otimes \overline{a_2b_2c_2})$$
$$= a_2b_2c_2a_2 \otimes b_2c_2$$
$$d_3(c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 - i_2d_2(c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1)$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 - i_2(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes \overline{c_1a_1b_1})$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + i_2(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0b_0c_0)$$
$$= c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0$$
$$d_3(c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2b_2 \otimes 1) = c_2a_2b_2c_2 \otimes a_2b_2 - i_2d_2(c_2a_2b_2c_2 \otimes a_2b_2)$$
$$= c_2a_2b_2c_2 \otimes a_2b_2 - i_2(c_2a_2b_2 \otimes \overline{c_2a_2b_2})$$
$$= c_2a_2b_2c_2 \otimes a_2b_2 + i_2(c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1b_1c_1)$$
$$= c_2a_2b_2c_2 \otimes a_2b_2 + c_2a_2b_2a_1 \otimes b_1c_1 + i_2(c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1b_1c_1 - d_1(c_2a_2b_2a_1 \otimes b_1c_1))$$
$$= c_2a_2b_2c_2 \otimes a_2b_2 + c_2a_2b_2a_1 \otimes b_1c_1$$
$$d_3(b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_2d_2(b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0)$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_2(b_1c_1a_1 \otimes \overline{b_1a_0} + b_1a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0a_0})$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0$$
$$d_3(b_1c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 - i_2d_2(b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1)$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 - i_2(b_1c_1a_1 \otimes \overline{b_1c_1a_1} + b_1a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0c_1a_1})$$
$$= b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1$$
$$d_3(b_2c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2 \otimes 1) = b_2c_2a_2b_2 \otimes c_2a_2$$
$$d_3(b_2c_2a_2b_2a_1 \otimes 1) = b_2c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1 - i_2d_2(b_2c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1)$$
$$= b_2c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1 - i_2(b_2c_2a_2 \otimes \overline{b_2a_1} + b_2a_1 \otimes \overline{b_1c_1a_1})$$
$$= b_2c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1$$

$$d_3(c_0c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_2d_2(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0)$$
$$= c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 - i_2(c_0c_1 \otimes \overline{a_1b_1a_0} + c_0a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0a_0})$$
$$= c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0$$
$$d_3(c_0c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 - i_2d_2(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1)$$
$$= c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 - i_2(c_0c_1 \otimes \overline{a_1b_1c_1a_1} + c_0a_0 \otimes \overline{b_0c_0c_1a_1})$$
$$= c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1$$
$$d_3(c_1c_2a_2b_2a_1 \otimes 1) = c_1c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1 - i_2d_2(c_1c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1)$$
$$= c_1c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1 - i_2(c_1c_2 \otimes \overline{a_2b_2a_1} + c_1a_1b_1 \otimes \overline{c_1a_1})$$
$$= c_1c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1 - c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1 - i_2(c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 - d_2(c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1))$$
$$= c_1c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1 - c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1$$
$$d_3(c_1c_2a_2b_2c_2 \otimes 1) = c_1c_2a_2b_2 \otimes c_2 - i_2d_2(c_1c_2a_2b_2 \otimes c_2)$$
$$= c_1c_2a_2b_2 \otimes c_2 - i_2(c_1c_2 \otimes \overline{a_2b_2c_2} + c_1a_1b_1 \otimes \overline{c_1c_2})$$
$$= c_1c_2a_2b_2 \otimes c_2$$

So after observing the formulas for d_1, d_2 and d_3, we can easily guess the general formula for d_n which is given by:

Proposition 4.4.3.

$$d_n : C_n \otimes B_2 \to C_{n-1} \otimes B_2$$

when n is even,

$$d_n(a_2b_2c_2 \dots a_2b_2c_2a_2 \otimes 1) = a_2b_2c_2 \dots a_2b_2c_2 \otimes a_2 \tag{33}$$
$$d_n(c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 \tag{34}$$
$$d_n(c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 \tag{35}$$
$$d_n(c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2c_2 \otimes 1) = c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2 \otimes c_2 \tag{36}$$
$$d_n(c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2a_1 \otimes 1) = c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1 \tag{37}$$
$$d_n(b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + b_1c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 \tag{38}$$
$$d_n(b_2c_2a_2 \dots b_2c_2a_2b_2 \otimes 1) = b_2c_2a_2 \dots b_2c_2a_2 \otimes b_2 + b_2c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2a_1 \otimes b_1c_1 \tag{39}$$
$$d_n(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 \tag{40}$$

except,

$$d_2(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1 \otimes a_1b_1 + c_0a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 \tag{41}$$

$$d_n(c_1c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2\otimes 1) = c_1c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2\otimes a_2b_2 \tag{42}$$

except

$$d_2(c_1c_2a_2b_2\otimes 1) = c_1c_2\otimes a_2b_2 + c_1a_1b_1\otimes c_1. \tag{43}$$

When n is odd,

$$d_n(a_2b_2c_2\dots a_2b_2c_2\otimes 1) = a_2b_2c_2\dots a_2b_2c_2a_2\otimes b_2c_2 \tag{44}$$

$$d_n(c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1b_1\otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1\otimes b_1 + c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1b_1a_0\otimes b_0c_0 \tag{45}$$

$$d_n(c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2\otimes 1) = c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2\otimes a_2b_2 + c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2a_1\otimes b_1c_1 \tag{46}$$

$$d_n(b_1c_1a_1\dots b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0\otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1\dots b_1c_1a_1b_1\otimes a_0 \tag{47}$$

$$d_n(b_1c_1a_1\dots b_1c_1a_1\otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1\dots b_1\otimes c_1a_1 \tag{48}$$

$$d_n(b_2c_2a_2\dots b_2c_2a_2\otimes 1) = b_2c_2a_2\dots b_2\otimes c_2a_2 \tag{49}$$

$$d_n(b_2c_2a_2\dots b_2c_2a_2b_2a_1\otimes 1) = b_2c_2a_2\dots b_2c_2a_2b_2\otimes a_1 \tag{50}$$

$$d_n(c_0c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1b_1a_0\otimes 1) = c_0c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1b_1\otimes a_0 \tag{51}$$

$$d_n(c_0c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1\otimes 1) = c_0c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1b_1\otimes c_1a_1 \tag{52}$$

$$d_n(c_1c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2c_2\otimes 1) = c_1c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2\otimes c_2 \tag{53}$$

$$d_n(c_1c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2a_1\otimes 1) = c_1c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2\otimes a_1 \tag{54}$$

except,

$$d_n(c_1c_2a_2b_2a_1\otimes 1) = c_1c_2a_2b_2\otimes a_1 - c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1\otimes 1. \tag{55}$$

We can easily verify these formulas with the help of induction.
We just write the n-chains and the general formula, d_n for B_3 before proceeding to write the formula of Anick's resolution structure for B_n.

4.4.3 Case $n = 3$

Lemma 4.4.4. *The elements of chain C_n, when n is odd are,*

$$a_3b_3c_3\dots a_3b_3c_3,\ \ c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1b_1,\ \ c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2,$$

$$c_3a_3b_3\dots c_3a_3b_3,\ \ b_1c_1a_1\dots b_1c_1a_1,\ \ b_1c_1a_1\dots b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0,$$

$$b_2c_2a_2\dots b_2c_2a_2,\ \ b_2c_2a_2\dots b_2c_2a_2b_2a_1,\ \ b_3c_3a_3\dots b_3c_3a_3,$$

$$b_3c_3a_3\dots b_3c_3a_3b_3a_2,\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1b_1a_0,\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1,$$

$$c_1c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2,\ \ c_1c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2a_1,$$

$$c_2c_3a_3b_3\dots c_3a_3b_3c_3,\ \ c_2c_3a_3b_3\dots c_3a_3b_3a_2.$$

Proposition 4.4.4. *When n is odd, $d_n : C_n \otimes B_3 \to C_{n-1} \otimes B_3$ is given by,*

$$d_n(a_3b_3c_3 \dots a_3b_3c_3 \otimes 1) = a_3b_3c_3 \dots a_3 \otimes b_3c_3 \tag{56}$$

$$d_n(c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1 \otimes b_1 + c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes b_0c_0 \tag{57}$$

$$d_n(c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2 \otimes 1) = c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2 \otimes b_2 + c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2a_1 \otimes b_1c_1 \tag{58}$$

$$d_n(c_3a_3b_3 \dots c_3a_3b_3 \otimes 1) = c_3a_3b_3 \dots c_3a_3 \otimes b_3 + c_3a_3b_3 \dots c_3a_3b_3a_2 \otimes b_2c_2 \tag{59}$$

$$d_n(b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 \tag{60}$$

$$d_n(b_2c_2a_2 \dots b_2c_2a_2 \otimes 1) = b_2c_2a_2 \dots b_2 \otimes c_2a_2 \tag{61}$$

$$d_n(b_3c_3a_3 \dots b_3c_3a_3 \otimes 1) = b_3c_3a_3 \dots b_3 \otimes c_3a_3 \tag{62}$$

$$d_n(b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 \tag{63}$$

$$d_n(b_2c_2a_2 \dots b_2c_2a_2b_2a_1 \otimes 1) = b_2c_2a_2 \dots b_2c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1 \tag{64}$$

$$d_n(b_3c_3a_3 \dots b_3c_3a_3b_3a_2 \otimes 1) = b_3c_3a_3 \dots b_3c_3a_3b_3 \otimes a_2 \tag{65}$$

$$d_n(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 \tag{66}$$

$$d_n(c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1 \otimes 1) = c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1 \otimes c_1a_1 \tag{67}$$

$$d_n(c_1c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2a_1 \otimes 1) = c_1c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2 \otimes a_1 \tag{68}$$

$$d_n(c_1c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2 \otimes 1) = c_1c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2 \otimes c_2a_2 \tag{69}$$

$$d_n(c_2c_3a_3b_3 \dots c_3a_3b_3c_3 \otimes 1) = c_2c_3a_3b_3 \dots c_3a_3b_3 \otimes c_3 \tag{70}$$

$$d_n(c_2c_3a_3b_3 \dots c_3a_3b_3a_2 \otimes 1) = c_2c_3a_3b_3 \dots c_3a_3b_3 \otimes a_2. \tag{71}$$

Lemma 4.4.5. *When n is even the elements of C_n are,*

$$a_3b_3c_3 \dots a_3b_3c_3a_3,\ \ c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_0,\ \ c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1,$$

$$c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2a_1,\ \ c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2,\ \ c_3a_3b_3 \dots c_3a_3b_3c_3a_3,$$

$$c_3a_3b_3 \dots c_3a_3b_3a_2,\ \ b_1c_1a_1 \dots b_1c_1a_1b_1,\ \ b_2c_2a_2 \dots b_2c_2a_2b_2,$$

$$b_3c_3a_3 \dots b_3c_3a_3b_3,\ \ c_0c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1,\ \ c_1c_2a_2b_2 \dots c_2a_2b_2,$$

$$c_2c_3a_3b_3 \dots c_3a_3b_3.$$

Proposition 4.4.5.

$$d_n : C_n \otimes B_3 \to C_{n-1} \otimes B_3$$

are given by,

$$d_n(a_3b_3c_3 \dots a_3b_3c_3a_3 \otimes 1) = a_3b_3c_3 \dots a_3b_3c_3 \otimes a_3 \tag{72}$$

$$d_n(c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1a_0 \otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1 \dots c_1a_1b_1 \otimes a_0 \tag{73}$$

$$d_n(c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1b_1c_1a_1\otimes 1) = c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1b_1\otimes c_1a_1 \tag{74}$$

$$d_n(c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2a_1\otimes 1) = c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2\otimes a_1 \tag{75}$$

$$d_n(c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2c_2a_2\otimes 1) = c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2\otimes c_2a_2 \tag{76}$$

$$d_n(c_3a_3b_3\dots c_3a_3b_3a_2\otimes 1) = c_3a_3b_3\dots c_3a_3b_3\otimes a_2 \tag{77}$$

$$d_n(c_3a_3b_3\dots c_3a_3b_3c_3a_3\otimes 1) = c_3a_3b_3\dots c_3a_3b_3\otimes c_3a_3 \tag{78}$$

$$d_n(b_1c_1a_1\dots b_1c_1a_1b_1\otimes 1) = b_1c_1a_1\dots b_1c_1a_1\otimes b_1+b_1c_1a_1b_1\dots c_1a_1b_1a_0\otimes b_0c_0 \tag{79}$$

$$d_n(b_2c_2a_2\dots b_2c_2a_2b_2\otimes 1) = b_2c_2a_2\dots b_2c_2a_2\otimes b_2+b_2c_2a_2b_2\dots c_2a_2b_2a_1\otimes b_1c_1 \tag{80}$$

$$d_n(b_3c_3a_3\dots b_3c_3a_3b_3\otimes 1) = b_3c_3a_3\dots b_3c_3a_3\otimes b_3+b_3c_3a_3b_3\dots c_3a_3b_3\otimes b_2c_2 \tag{81}$$

Again we can easily prove the formula of d_n using the methods of induction.

4.4.4 The general formula

We recall algebra B_n, which consists of a set of generators $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_n, b_n, c_n\}$ and a set of relations given by

$$\{a_nb_nc_n, c_0a_0\}\cup\{a_ib_ic_i + c_{i+1}a_{i+1}b_{i+1}, b_{i+1}c_{i+1}a_{i+1}, c_ic_{i+1}, b_{i+1}a_i\}_{0\le i<n}$$

According to lemma 4.4.1, the reduced Gröbner basis of algebra B_n is given by the set of relations defined above. The set of leading terms, denoted by F, are:

$$a_nb_nc_n,\quad c_0a_0,\quad \{c_ia_ib_i\}_{1\le i\le n},\quad \{b_ic_ia_i\}_{1\le i\le n},\quad \{c_ic_{i+1}\}_{0\le i\le n-1},\quad \{b_ia_{i-1}\}_{1\le i\le n}.$$

Lemma 4.4.6. *Total number of elements in C_n, when n is odd, are $5n+1$. The elements are:*

$$a_nb_nc_n\dots a_nb_nc_n,\quad \{c_ia_ib_i\dots c_ia_ib_i\}_{1\le i\le n},\quad \{b_ic_ia_i\dots b_ic_ia_i\}_{1\le i\le n}$$

$$\{b_ic_ia_i\dots b_ic_ia_ib_ia_{i-1}\}_{1\le i\le n},\quad \{c_{i-1}c_ia_ib_i\dots c_ia_ib_ia_{i-1}\}_{1\le i\le n},$$

$$\{c_{i-1}c_ia_ib_i\dots c_ia_ib_ic_ia_i\}_{1\le i\le n-1},\quad c_{n-1}c_na_nb_n\dots c_na_nb_nc_n.$$

Lemma 4.4.7. *When n is even, the elements of C_n are:*

$$a_nb_nc_n\dots a_nb_nc_na_n,\quad \{c_ia_ib_i\dots c_ia_ib_ic_ia_i\}_{1\le i\le n-1},\quad c_na_nb_n\dots c_na_nb_nc_n,$$

$$\{c_ia_ib_i\dots c_ia_ib_ia_{i-1}\}_{1\le i\le n},\quad \{b_ic_ia_i\dots b_ic_ia_ib_i\}_{1\le i\le n},$$

$$\{c_{i-1}c_ia_ib_i\dots c_ia_ib_i\}_{1\le i\le n}.$$

Total number of elements in C_n are $4n+1$.

Proposition 4.4.6. *When n is odd,*

$$d_n : C_n \otimes B_n \to C_{n-1} \otimes B_n$$

are given by

$$d_n(a_nb_nc_n \dots a_nb_nc_n \otimes 1) = a_nb_nc_n \dots a_nb_nc_na_n \otimes b_nc_n.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$d_n(c_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_ib_i \otimes 1) = c_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_i \otimes b_i + c_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_ib_ia_{i-1} \otimes b_{i-1}c_{i-1}$$

$$d_n(b_ic_ia_i \dots b_ic_ia_i \otimes 1) = b_ic_ia_i \dots b_i \otimes c_ia_i$$

$$d_n(b_ic_ia_i \dots b_ic_ia_ib_ia_{i-1} \otimes 1) = b_ic_ia_i \dots b_ic_ia_ib_i \otimes a_{i-1}$$

$$d_n(c_{i-1}c_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_ib_ia_{i-1} \otimes 1) = c_{i-1}c_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_ib_i \otimes a_{i-1},$$

except for $2 \leq i \leq n$,

$$d_3(c_{i-1}c_ia_ib_ia_{i-1} \otimes 1) = c_{i-1}c_ia_ib_i \times a_{i-1} - c_{i-1}a_{i-1}b_{i-1}c_{i-1}a_{i-1} \otimes 1.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$d_n(c_{i-1}c_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_ib_ic_ia_i \otimes 1) = c_{i-1}c_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_ib_i \otimes c_ia_i$$

$$d_n(c_{n-1}c_na_nb_n \dots c_na_nb_nc_n \otimes 1) = c_{n-1}c_na_nb_n \dots c_na_nb_n \otimes c_n.$$

Proposition 4.4.7. *When n is even,*

$$d_n : C_n \otimes B_n \to C_{n-1} \otimes B_n$$

are given by

$$d_n(a_nb_nc_n \dots a_nb_nc_na_n \otimes 1) = a_nb_nc_n \dots a_nb_nc_n \otimes a_n$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$d_n(c_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_ib_ic_ia_i \otimes 1) = c_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_ib_i \otimes c_ia_i.$$

$$d_n(c_na_nb_n \dots c_na_nb_nc_n \otimes 1) = c_na_nb_n \dots c_na_nb_n \otimes c_n$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$d_n(b_ic_ia_i \dots b_ic_ia_ib_i \otimes 1) = b_ic_ia_i \dots b_ic_ia_i \otimes b_i + b_ic_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_ib_ia_{i-1} \otimes b_{i-1}c_{i-1}.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n-2$,

$$d_n(c_{i-1}c_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_ib_i \otimes 1) = c_{i-1}c_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_i \otimes b_i$$
$$+c_{i-1}c_ia_ib_i \dots c_ia_ib_ia_{i-1} \otimes b_{i-1}c_{i-1}.$$

$$d_n(c_{n-1}c_na_nb_n \dots c_na_nb_n \otimes 1) = c_{n-1}c_na_nb_n \dots c_n \otimes a_nb_n$$
$$+c_{n-1}c_na_nb_n \dots c_na_nb_na_{n-1} \otimes b_{n-1}c_{n-1}.$$

Proof. We prove the above propositions using the method of induction. Proposition 4.4.6 and 4.4.7 are influenced by proposition 4.4.2, 4.4.3, 4.4.4 and 4.4.5 where we construct the formula of d_n for algebra B_1, B_2, B_3 and prove them using the method of induction. □

5 Categories, Functors and Natural Transformations

5.1 Metacategory

5.1.1 Axioms for Categories

Without using any set theoretic approach and only using axioms we are going to describe categories viz *metacategories*. For that we first require to define *metagraph*.

Definition 5.1.1. A **metagraph** consists of objects say $a, b, c, \ldots$ and arrows $f, g, h, \ldots$ along with following two operations:
Domain, which assigns to each arrow f an object a, say $a = \mathrm{dom}\, f$
Codomain, which assigns to each arrow f an object b, say $b = \mathrm{codom}\, f$

We can display these operations by means of an arrow diagram as follows

$$a \xrightarrow{f} b$$

Now we define a **metacategory**.

Definition 5.1.2. A **metacategory** is a metagraph with additional two operations viz.
Identity, which assigns to each object a an arrow $Id_a = 1_a : a \to a$
Composition, which assigns to each pair $\langle g, f \rangle$ of arrows, an arrow $g \circ f : \mathrm{dom}\, f \to \mathrm{codom}\, g$, provided $\mathrm{dom}\, g = \mathrm{codom}\, f$

We can represent this operation by means of a diagram,



These operations in a *metacategory* are subject to the following axioms:
Associativity, for given objects and arrows in the following configuration

$$a \xrightarrow{f} b \xrightarrow{g} c \xrightarrow{h} d$$

one always has the equality

$$h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f \tag{82}$$

This axiom assures that the *associative law* holds for the operation of composition whenever it makes sense.
Unit law, for all arrows $f : a \to b$ and $g : b \to c$ composition with the identity arrow gives

$$1_b \circ f = f \qquad \text{and} \qquad g \circ 1_b = g \tag{83}$$

This axiom assures that the identity arrow 1_b of each object b acts as an identity for the operation of composition whenever it makes sense.

Example 5.1.1. Let us give an example of *metacategory*. We take *metacategory of sets* where *objects* are all sets and *arrows* are the function defined on them (set functions) along with identity function and composition of functions (Usual composition). For example a function $f : X \to Y$ consists of X as domain, Y as codomain and with a suitable set of ordered pairs $\langle x, fx\rangle$ which assigns for each $x \in X$, an element $fx \in Y$.
Similarly we can define *metacategory of all groups* where objects are all groups $G, H, K, \ldots$ and arrows are those functions $f : G \to H$ which are homomorphism of groups.

5.2 Category

Now a category will mean any interpretation of category axioms (Associativity and Unital law) within Set theory thus making it distinguished from *metacategories*.

Definition 5.2.1. A **category** $\mathcal{C}$ consists of three ingredients: a class obj($\mathcal{C}$) of *objects*, a set of *morphisms* $Hom(A, B)$ for every ordered pair (A, B) of objects and *composition* $Hom(A, B) \times Hom(B, C) \to Hom(A, C)$ denoted by $(f, g) \mapsto g \circ f = gf$, for every ordered triple A, B, C of objects.

These ingredients are subject to following axioms:

- the Hom sets are pairwise disjoint i.e. each $f \in Hom(A, B)$ has a unique *Domain* A and a unique *Codomain* B.
- for each object A,there exists an *identity morphism* $1_A \in Hom(A, A)$ such that $f \circ 1_A = f1_A = f$ and $1_B \circ f = 1_B f = f$; for each $f : A \to B$
- composition is associative i.e. for given morphisms
  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} D$, we have $h(gf) = (hg)f$.

Example 5.2.1. The category $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X})$ of partially ordered set $\mathcal{X}$, where $\text{obj}\,\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$, $Hom_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X})}(i,j)$ consists of a unique element say l^i_j if $i \leq j$ and is $\emptyset$, otherwise. Composition is given by $l^j_k l^i_j = l^i_k$ when $i \leq j \leq k$, because of reflexivity we have $1_i = l^i_i$ and composition makes sense because $\leq$ is transitive.

Example 5.2.2. Monoid is a category of one object. Thus each monoids is determined by the set of all it's arrows, by the identity arrow and by the rule of composition of arrows. Since any two arrows have a composite so monoids may be described as a set X with a binary operation $X \times X \to X$ which is associative and has an identity.

Example 5.2.3. A group is a category of one object and morphisms are homomorphisms and every morphism has a (two-sided) inverse under composition.

Example 5.2.4. Grp, category of all groups with morphism as group homomorphisms.

Example 5.2.5. Ab, category of all abelian groups with morphism as group homomorphisms.

Example 5.2.6. Rng, category of rings with object as all rings with morphism as homomorphism (preserving units) between two rings.

Example 5.2.7. Top, category of topological spaces where obj*(**Top**)* is all topological spaces and morphism are continuous maps between them.

Example 5.2.8. Toph, where obj*(**Toph**)* is all topological spaces and morphism given by
$Hom_{Toph}(X,Y)$ = {the set of homotopy classes of continuous mapping from X to Y} .

Example 5.2.9. Top$_*$, in this category obj(Top_*) consists of all ordered pairs (X, x_0) where X is a non-empty topological spaces and $x_0 \in X$ and morphisms are continuous maps $f : (X, x_0) \to (Y, y_0)$ where $f : X \to Y$ with $f(x_0) = y_0$ i.e. base point preserving maps.

5.3 Functor

Definition 5.3.1. If $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are two categories,then a **functor** $T : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a function such that:

1. if $a \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$, then $T(a) \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{D})$.

2. if $f : a \to a'$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then $T(f) : T(a) \to T(a')$ in $\mathcal{D}$.

3. if $a \xrightarrow{f} a' \xrightarrow{g} a''$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then $T(a) \xrightarrow{T(f)} T(a') \xrightarrow{T(g)} T(a'')$ in $\mathcal{D}$ and
$$T(gf) = T(g)T(f).$$

4. $T(1_a) = 1_{T(a)}$ for each $a \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$.
We call this functor a **covariant functor**.

Example 5.3.1. Power set functor $\mathcal{P} : \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Set}$. The object function assigns to each set X the usual power set $\mathcal{P}X$, where elements are all subsets $S \subset X$; it's arrow function assigns to each $f : X \to Y$ the map $\mathcal{P}f : \mathcal{P}X \to \mathcal{P}Y$ which sends each $S \subset X$ to its image $fS \subset Y$. Since both $\mathcal{P}(1_X) = 1_{\mathcal{P}X}$ and $\mathcal{P}(gf) = \mathcal{P}(g)\mathcal{P}(f)$, this defines a functor $\mathcal{P} : \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Set}$.

Example 5.3.2. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a category, then the **identity functor** $1_\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is defined by $1_\mathcal{C} A = A$ for all objects A and $1_\mathcal{C} f = f$ for all morphisms f. It is the simplest example of a functor.

Example 5.3.3. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a category and $A \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$, then the Hom **functor** $T_A : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{Sets}$, denoted by $\text{Hom}(A, -)$ is defined by

$$T_A(B) = \text{Hom}(A, B) \text{ for all } B \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$$

and if $f : B \to B'$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then $T_A(f) : \text{Hom}(A, B) \to \text{Hom}(A, B')$ is given by

$$T_A(f) : h \mapsto fh$$

We call $T_A(f) = \text{Hom}(A, f)$ the induced map and denoted it by f_*.

Example 5.3.4. Singular homology in a given dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$ assigns to each topological space X an abelian group $H_n(X)$, the n-th homology group of X and also to each continuous map $f : X \to Y$ of spaces a corresponding homomorphism $H_n(f) : H_n(X) \to H_n(Y)$ of groups thus making H_n a functor $\mathbf{Top} \to \mathbf{Ab}$.

Example 5.3.5. Continuing with the previous example homotopic maps $f, g : X \to Y$ yield the same homomorphism $H_n(X) \to H_n(Y)$, so we can also regard H_n as a functor $\mathbf{Toph} \to \mathbf{Grp}$, defined on homotopy category.

Example 5.3.6. For any commutative ring K, we can attach the general linear group of $n \times n$ non-singular matrices with entries from K viz $\text{GL}_n(K)$. For any ring homomorphism $f : K \to K'$ we can associate a homomorphism $\text{GL}_n(f) : \text{GL}_n(K) \to \text{GL}_n(K')$ of groups and thus defines the functor GL_n:$\mathbf{CRng} \to \mathbf{Grp}$, where $\mathbf{CRng}$ is the category of commutative rings.

Definition 5.3.2. A functor which simply forgets some or all of the structure of an algebraic object is known as **forgetful functor**. Let us give an example to clarify this:

Example 5.3.7. A forgetful functor F:**Grp** $\to$ **Set** assigns to each group G, the set $F\,G$ of its elements (thus forgetting the multiplication and hence the group structure) and assigns to each morphism $f : G \to G'$ of groups the same function f, regarded just as a function between sets.

Definition 5.3.3. A functor $T : A \to B$ is **full** when to every pair a, a' of objects of A and to every arrow $g : Ta \to Ta'$ of B, there is an arrow $f : a \to a'$ of A with $g = T\,f$.
It is very clear that composite of two full functors is also a full functor.

Definition 5.3.4. A functor $T : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is **faithful** if for all $a, b \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$, the functions $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(a, b) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(Ta, Tb)$ given by $f \mapsto T\,f$ are injections.
Again composite of two faithful functors is a faithful functor.

Example 5.3.8. The previous forgetful functor **Grp** $\to$ **Set** is a faithful functor. However it is not a full functor.

Definition 5.3.5. If $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are two categories, then a **contravariant functor** $T : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a function such that:

1. if $a \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$, then $T(a) \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{D})$.

2. if $f : a \to a'$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then $T(f) : T(a') \to T(a)$ in $\mathcal{D}$.

3. if $a \xrightarrow{f} a' \xrightarrow{g} a''$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then $T(a'') \xrightarrow{T(g)} T(a') \xrightarrow{T(f)} T(a)$ in $\mathcal{D}$ and
$$T(gf) = T(f)T(g).$$

4. $T(1_a) = 1_{T(a)}$ for each $a \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$.

Example 5.3.9. Let us give an example of a contravariant functor.
If $\mathcal{C}$ is a category and $B \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$, then the **contravariant** Hom **functor** $T^B : \mathcal{C} \to$ **Sets** denoted by $\text{Hom}(-, B)$, defined as

$$T^B(C) = \text{Hom}(C, B) \quad \text{for all } C \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$$

and if $f : C \to C'$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then $T^B(f) : \text{Hom}(C', B) \to \text{Hom}(C, B)$ is given by

$$T^B(f) : h \mapsto hf$$

5.4 Natural Transformation

Definition 5.4.1. Given two functors $S, T : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}$, a **natural transformation** is a function $\tau : S \to T$ which assigns to each object c in $\mathcal{C}$, an arrow $\tau_c = \tau\,c : Sc \to Tc$ of $\mathcal{B}$ in such a way that every arrow $f : c \to c'$ in

$\mathcal{C}$ yields a commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S\,c & \xrightarrow{\tau\ c} & T\,c \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle S\,f} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle T\,f} \\ S\,c' & \xrightarrow{\tau\ c'} & T\,c' \end{array}$$

When it holds, we also say that $\tau_c : Sc \to Tc$ is *natural* in c. A natural transformation is also called a *morphism of functors*.

Example 5.4.1. Let $\det_K M$ be the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix M with entries from the commutative ring K, while K^* denotes the group of units of K. Thus M is non-singular when $\det_K M$ is a unit and $\det_K$ is a morphism $\mathrm{GL}_n K \to K^*$ of groups. As the determinant is defined by the same formula for all rings K, each morphism $f : K \to K'$ of rings leads to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GL}_n K & \xrightarrow{\det_K} & K^* \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{GL}_n f} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} \\ \mathrm{GL}_n K' & \xrightarrow{\det_{K'}} & K'^* \end{array}$$

this states that the transformation $\det : \mathrm{GL}_n \to (\ )^*$ is natural between two functors **CRng** $\to$ **Grp**.

Example 5.4.2. For each group G, the projection $p_G : G \to G/[G,G]$ to the factor commutator group defines a transformation p from the identity functor on **Grp** to the factor commutator functor **Grp** $\to$ **Ab** $\to$ **Grp**. Moreover, p is natural because each group homomorphism $f : G \to H$ defines the evident homomorphism f' for which we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{p_G} & \frac{G}{[G,G]} \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} \\ H & \xrightarrow{p_H} & \frac{H}{[H,H]} \end{array}$$

5.5 Product of Categories

We are going to construct a new category $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$ from given categories $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ in the following way:
An object of $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$ is a pair $\langle b, c\rangle$ where $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$; an arrow $\langle b, c\rangle \to \langle b', c'\rangle$ of $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$ is a pair $\langle f, g\rangle$ of arrows $f : b \to b'$ and $g : c \to c'$ and the composite of two such arrows

$$\langle b, c\rangle \xrightarrow{\langle f, g\rangle} \langle b', c'\rangle \xrightarrow{\langle f', g'\rangle} \langle b'', c''\rangle$$

is defined by

$$\langle f', g' \rangle \circ \langle f, g \rangle = \langle f' \circ f, g' \circ g \rangle \tag{84}$$

Definition 5.5.1. Functors P,Q

$$\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{B} \;\; ; \;\; \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{C}$$

called the *projections* of product and are defined on arrows by,

$$P\langle f, g \rangle = f, \quad Q\langle f, g \rangle = g.$$

Universality of P and Q:
Given any category $\mathcal{D}$ and two functors,

$$\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{B} \;\; ; \;\; \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{C}$$

there is a unique functor $F : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$ with $P\,F = R$ and $Q\,F = T$; these two conditions require that Fh for any arrow $h \in \mathcal{D}$, must be $\langle Rh, Th \rangle$. Conversely, this value for Fh makes F a functor with the required properties.

Two functors $U : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}'$ and $V : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$ have a product $U \times V : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}' \times \mathcal{C}'$ which can be described explicitely on objects and arrows as

$$(U \times V)\langle b, c \rangle = \langle Ub, Vc \rangle; \;\; (U \times V)\langle f, g \rangle = \langle Uf, Vg \rangle$$

The uniqueness of the functor $U \times V$ can be seen from the following commutative diagrams,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{B} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle U \times V} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle U} \\ \mathcal{B}' \times \mathcal{C}' & \xrightarrow{P'} & \mathcal{B}' \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{Q} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle U \times V} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle V} \\ \mathcal{B}' \times \mathcal{C}' & \xrightarrow{Q'} & \mathcal{C}' \end{array}$$

Therefore the product $\times$ is a pair of functions: To each pair $\langle \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \rangle$ of categories a new category $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$; to each pair of functors $\langle U, V \rangle$ a new functor $U \times V$, also as $(U' \times V') \circ (U \times V) = U'U \times V'V$ thus the composite $U' \circ U$ and $V' \circ V$ are defined. Hence the operation $\times$ itself is a functor $\times : \mathbf{Cat} \to \mathbf{Cat}$.

Definition 5.5.2. Functor $G : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ from a product category is called a **bifunctor** on $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$.

Example 5.5.1. The cartesian product $X \times Y$ of two sets X and Y is a bifunctor $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Set}$.

We now state a proposition regarding the product categories and bifunctors:

Proposition 5.5.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be categories. For all objects $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$, let*

$$L_c : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}, \quad M_b : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$$

be functors such that $M_b(c) = L_c(b)$ for all b, c. Then there exists a bifunctor $S : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ with $S(-, c) = L_c$ for all c and $S(b, -) = M_b$ for all b if and only if for every pair of arrows $f : b \to b'$ and $g : c \to c'$ one can obtain

$$M_{b'} g \circ L_c f = L_{c'} f \circ M_b g \tag{85}$$

Proof. For the necessary part what we need to show is that

$$S(b', g)S(f, c) = S(f, c')S(b, g)$$

and this condition can be viewed as a commutative diagram as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S(b,c) & \xrightarrow{S(b,g)} & S(b,c') \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle S(f,c)} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle S(f,c')} \\ S(b',c) & \xrightarrow{S(b',g)} & S(b',c') \end{array}$$

and this is just condition (85) rewritten, and we can achieve the above part simply applying functor S to the following equation which can be found by writing b, c for their corresponding arrows and from definition (84) of composite in $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$,

$$\langle b', g\rangle \circ \langle f, c\rangle = \langle b' f, gc\rangle = \langle f, g\rangle = \langle fb, c' g\rangle = \langle f, c'\rangle \circ \langle b, g\rangle$$

Conversely, one can verify that given all L_c and M_b condition (85) defines $S(f, g)$ for every pair f, g as well as the definition (84) yields a bifunctor S with its required properties. □

Our next aim is to define a natural transformation between bifunctors $S, S' : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$. Let α be that function which assigns to each pair of objects $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$ an arrow

$$\alpha(b, c) : S(b, c) \to S'(b, c) \tag{86}$$

in $\mathcal{D}$. We call α *natural* in b if for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$ the components $\alpha(b, c)$ for all b define

$$\alpha(-, c) : S(-, c) \to S'(-, c)$$

a natural transformation of functors $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$.
Based on such definition we state another proposition which can be verified easily.

Proposition 5.5.2. *For bifunctors S, S', the function α written in (86) is a natural transformation $\alpha : S \to S'$ of bifunctors if and only if $\alpha(b, c)$ is natural in b for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and natural in c for each $b \in \mathcal{B}$.*

6 Some Topics in Homological Algebra

6.1 Some useful definitions

Definition 6.1.1 (Chain complex)**.** A **chain complex** $(C_\cdot, d_\cdot)$ is a sequence of abelian groups (or modules) and homomorphisms

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} C_{n-2} \longrightarrow \ldots$$

with the property $d_n \circ d_{n+1} = d_n d_{n+1} = 0$ for all n. Homomorphisms d_n are called *differentials*.

Definition 6.1.2 (Exact sequence)**.** A chain complex defined above is called **exact** if

$$\ker d_n = \operatorname{Im} d_{n+1} \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Definition 6.1.3 (Co-chain complex)**.** A **co-chain complex** $(C^\cdot, d^\cdot)$ is a sequence of abelian groups (or modules) and homomorphisms

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} C^n \xrightarrow{d^n} C^{n+1} \xrightarrow{d^{n+1}} C^{n+2} \longrightarrow \ldots$$

with the property $d^n \circ d^{n-1} = 0$ for all n.

Definition 6.1.4 (Homology and Cohomology)**.** A **homology** of a chain complex is the group $H_n(C_\cdot) = \ker d_n / \operatorname{Im} d_{n+1}$.
Similarly a **cohomology** of a co-chain complex is the group
$H^n(C^\cdot) = \ker d^n / \operatorname{Im} d^{n-1}$.

Definition 6.1.5. C_n are called **n-dimensional chains**. Similarly C^n are called **n-dimensional co-chains**. $\ker d_n = Z_n$ are called **n-dimensional cycles**. Similarly $\ker d^n = Z^n$ are called **n-dimensional co-cycles**.
$\operatorname{Im} d_{n+1} = B_n$ are called **boundaries** and $\operatorname{Im} d^{n-1} = B^n$ are called **co-boundaries**.

Definition 6.1.6 (Chain homotopy)**.** A chain map $\phi : (C_\cdot, d^C_\cdot) \to (D_\cdot, d^D_\cdot)$ is **null homotopic** if there are maps $h_n : C_n \to D_{n+1}$ such that $\phi = d^D_{n+1} h_n + h_{n-1} d^C_n$. The maps $\{h_n\}$ are called a chain contraction.
We say that two chain maps $\phi, \psi : C_\cdot \to D_\cdot$ are **chain homotopic** if their difference is null homotopic.

Next we will state an important theorem without the proof.

Theorem 6.1.1. *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow K_\cdot \xrightarrow{f} L_\cdot \xrightarrow{g} M_\cdot \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of chain complexes $K_\cdot, L_\cdot, M_\cdot$. Then the long sequence of their homologies

$$\ldots \longrightarrow H_n(K_\cdot) \xrightarrow{H_n(f)} H_n(L_\cdot) \xrightarrow{H_n(g)} H_n(M_\cdot) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{n-1}(K_\cdot)$$

$$\xrightarrow{H_{n-1}(f)} H_{n-1}(L_\cdot) \xrightarrow{H_{n-1}(g)} H_{n-1}(M_\cdot) \longrightarrow \ldots$$

where δ is the connecting homomorphism, is exact.

Proof. For proof of the above theorem, we refer to theorem 6.10 of [6]. □

6.2 Free resolution

Definition 6.2.1. A **resolution** of a module M is an exact sequence of modules M_n with differentials of the form

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{d_{n+2}} M_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} M_n \xrightarrow{d_n} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \ldots$$

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{d_2} M_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} M_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

We call a resolution **free** if each of M_n are free, and **projective** if each of M_n are projective.

Remark 6.2.1. As each free module is a projective module[21], so any free resolution is a projective resolution.

6.3 One example of free resolution

Example 6.3.1 (Koszul complex in commutative algebra)**.** Let R be a commutative ring. Let $M = R^k$ be a finitely generated R-module. Let $K_n = \Lambda^n_R M$ be its exterior algebra. Let us fix an element $m \in M$. Left exterior multiplication by m produce a differential on K_n since it's square is equal to 0. We call this complex *Koszul complex* [20] and denote it by $K(m)_\cdot$.

Remark 6.3.1. If $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k$ is a basis of M then the set of exterior products $e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_n}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n \leq n$ form a basis of $\Lambda^n_R M$.

Let $R = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$. Our claim is that Koszul complex for $m = (x, y, z) \in M = R^3$ is a free resolution.
Now,

$$\Lambda^0_R(R^3) = R \cdot 1 = R$$

$$\Lambda^1_R(R^3) = Re_1 \oplus Re_2 \oplus Re_3 \simeq R^3$$

$$\Lambda^2_R(R^3) = R(e_1 \wedge e_2) \oplus R(e_2 \wedge e_3) \oplus R(e_1 \wedge e_3) \simeq R^3$$
$$\Lambda^3_R(R^3) = R(e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3) \simeq R.$$

So our chain complex $K(m)_{\cdot}$ is of the form

$$0 \xrightarrow{h_{-1}} R \xrightarrow{h_0} R^3 \xrightarrow{h_1} R^3 \xrightarrow{h_2} R \xrightarrow{h_3} 0.$$

Next

$$h_0 : R \to R^3$$

is given by

$$r \mapsto m \wedge r$$
$$= (xe_1 + ye_2 + ze_3) \wedge r$$
$$= xre_1 + yre_2 + zre_3$$

Hence $\ker h_0 = \{r \mid h_0(r) = 0\}$ is given by conditions $xr = yr = zr = 0$, which implies that $\ker h_0 = \{0\}$ and it is equal to $\operatorname{Im} h_{-1}$.
We also observed that $\operatorname{Im} h_0 = \langle (x, y, z) \rangle$ i.e. the elements of $\operatorname{Im} h_0$ are generated by x, y, z.
Next,

$$h_1 : R^3 \to R^3$$

given by

$$r_1e_1 + r_2e_2 + r_3e_3 \mapsto m \wedge (r_1e_1 + r_2e_2 + r_3e_3)$$
$$= (xe_1 + ye_2 + ze_3) \wedge (r_1e_1 + r_2e_2 + r_3e_3)$$
$$= (xr_2 - yr_1)e_1 \wedge e_2 + (yr_3 - zr_2)e_2 \wedge e_3 + (xr_3 - zr_1)e_1 \wedge e_3.$$

Our job is to find $\ker h_1$ which is given by the relations,

$$xr_2 - yr_1 = 0$$
$$yr_3 - zr_2 = 0$$
$$xr_3 - zr_1 = 0$$

from these three relations we get $(r_1 : r_2) = (x : y)$; $(r_2 : r_3) = (y : z)$; $(r_1 : r_3) = (x : z)$ which all together implies $(r_1 : r_2 : r_3) = (x : y : z)$. Hence $\ker h_1 = \langle (x, y, z) \rangle = \operatorname{Im} h_0$.
We get that $\operatorname{Im} h_1 = \{r_1 : r_2 : r_3\}$ where $r_1 = \langle (x, y) \rangle$, $r_2 = \langle (y, z) \rangle$, $r_3 = \langle (x, z) \rangle$.
Next we have

$$h_2 : R^3 \to R$$

given by

$$r_1(e_1 \wedge e_2) + r_2(e_2 \wedge e_3) + r_3(e_1 \wedge e_3) \mapsto m \wedge (r_1(e_1 \wedge e_2) + r_2(e_2 \wedge e_3) + r_3(e_1 \wedge e_3))$$

$$= (xr_2 - yr_3 + zr_1)e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3.$$

So $\ker h_2$ is given by the relation $xr_2 - yr_3 + zr_1 = 0$. So we have $yr_3 = xr_2 + zr_1$. From this relation it is very clear that r_3 can not depend on y as otherwise we will have y^2 term in left hand side but in right hand side there is no y^2 term. If r_3 only depends on x that implies that r_1 must be only 0, later we will see it's impossible. Similarly r_3 can not only depends on z as otherwise r_2 must be only 0 and we will reach the impossibility. The only option is that r_3 is depending on both x, z.
Next from the relation $zr_1 = yr_3 - xr_2$ using the similar argument we get that r_1 can not depend on z. Similarly if r_1 only depends on x and only depends on y then r_3 and r_2 must be only 0 always respectively which is not possible. Also it makes clear that in the previous argument why r_1 is not only 0. So only suitable option is that r_1 depends on both x, y.
Similarly from the relation $xr_2 = yr_3 - zr_1$ and using the similar arguments we get r_2 is depending on both y, z. Also none of r_1, r_2, r_3 depend on all of x, y, z as otherwise we will have x^2, y^2, z^2 terms which can not be matched with other terms inside the relation.
All together it implies that $\ker h_2 = \{r_1 : r_2 : r_3\}$ where $r_1 = \langle (x, y) \rangle$, $r_2 = \langle (y, z) \rangle$ and $r_3 = \langle (x, z) \rangle$ and hence $\ker h_2 = \operatorname{Im} h_1$.
Also from the map h_2 we understand that $\operatorname{Im} h_2 = R$. Our $\ker h_3 = R$ and thus we get $\ker h_3 = \operatorname{Im} h_2$. Hence we have obtained a short exact sequence

$$0 \xrightarrow{h_{-1}} R \xrightarrow{h_0} R^3 \xrightarrow{h_1} R^3 \xrightarrow{h_2} R \xrightarrow{h_3} 0.$$

implies it is a free resolution.

7 Further Research Directions

In this section, we mention about two applications of Anick resolution which will link Gröbner bases with core homological algebra as well as with homotopical algebra.

7.1 Construction of derived functor from Anick resolution

Definition 7.1.1. Let $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{Q}$ be abelian categories[5] and let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{Q}$ be a covariant functor. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of objects in $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is **right exact** if

$$\mathcal{F}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(C) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Example 7.1.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}$ be categories of abelian groups. Let us fix an abelian group H. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_H : G \to G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H$$

is an example of a right exact functor from **Ab** to **Ab**.

Definition 7.1.2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a right exact functor from category $\mathcal{C}$ to category $\mathcal{D}$. Then **higher derived functor** of $\mathcal{F}$ is a collection of functors $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots$ such that if

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence, where $A, B, C \in \mathcal{C}$, then

$$\ldots \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1(B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(A)$$

$$\longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(C) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a long exact sequence.

Now we will show how to compute higher derived functors. Given $A \in \mathcal{C}$, our aim is to compute $\mathcal{F}_i(A)$. We find a projective resolution $(P_\cdot, d_\cdot)$ of A.

$$\cdots \to P_2 \to P_1 \to P_0 \to A \to 0$$

Applying functor $\mathcal{F}$ on the above resolution gives us a chain complex $(\mathcal{F}(P_\cdot), \mathcal{F}(d_\cdot))$. By definition,

$$\mathcal{F}_i(A) = H_i(\mathcal{F}(P_\cdot), \mathcal{F}(d_\cdot))$$
$$\mathcal{F}_0(A) = \mathcal{F}(A).$$

Lemma 7.1.1. *The functor $\mathcal{F}_i(A)$ doesn't depend on the resolution $(P_\cdot, d_\cdot)$.*

Proof. For proof, we refer to [6]. □

Remark 7.1.1. Short exact sequence gives rise to long exact sequence of homologies.

This is stated in theorem 6.1.1. Hence $\mathcal{F}_i$ are derived functor of $\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 7.1.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category of right R modules where R is an algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$ and let $\mathcal{D}$ be category of vector spaces over $\mathbb{K}$. Let N is a given left R-module. Then $\mathcal{F}_N : M \to M \otimes_R N$ is a right exact functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$. We compute derived functors of $\mathcal{F}_N$. They are called

$$\mathcal{F}_{N,i}(M) = \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$$

Now we will show how to compute some derived functors from our Anick resolution. Let A be an augmented algebra over $\mathbb{K}$. This makes $\mathbb{K}$ a left and right module. Our aim is to construct the structure of

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})$$

We have our Anick resolution of $\mathbb{K}$

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathbb{K} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{87}$$

We tensor each element and differentials of the above resolution with $\mathbb{K}$ over A. Hence we get

$$(C_i \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A) \otimes_A \mathbb{K} = C_i \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K} \simeq C_i.$$

Hence we obtain the following sequence

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{d_3 \otimes_A \mathbb{K}} C_2 \xrightarrow{d_2 \otimes_A \mathbb{K}} C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1 \otimes_A \mathbb{K}} C_0.$$

Let $c \in C_i \otimes 1 \simeq C_i$. Then $d_i(c)$ is of the form

$$d_i(c) = \sum_l c^l \otimes \sigma^l$$

for some c^l and σ^l. Then

$$d_i \otimes_A \mathbb{K}(c) = \sum_l v^l \cdot \epsilon(r^l)$$

for some v^l, r^l where $\epsilon : A \to \mathbb{K}$ is the augmentation map. In particular if A is graded i.e. $A = \oplus_i A_i$ with $A_0 = \mathbb{K}$ then

$$\epsilon|_{A_i} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 0.$$

Definition 7.1.4. A resolution is said to be **minimal** if

$$d_i \otimes_A \mathbb{K} = 0 \text{ for all } i.$$

Corollary 7.1.1. *If we have a resolution of the form given in equation (87) and if it is minimal, then*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}) \simeq C_i.$$

Remark 7.1.2. For algebra B_1, its Anick resolution is minimal. But for algebra B_n for $n > 1$, its Anick resolution is not minimal as $d_3 \otimes_A \mathbb{K} \neq 0$.

For more details about derived functors and minimal resolution we refer to [5], [6] and [17].

7.2 Computation of A_∞ algebra from Anick resolution

In 1960's Jim Stasheff introduced the concept of A_∞ spaces and A_∞ algebras to study 'group like' topological spaces. In this section we give a brief introduction about A_∞ algebras and computation of A_∞ structures on Ext-algebras. For more details we refer to [7], [8] and [22].

Definition 7.2.1. An A_∞-algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$ (also called a 'strongly homotopy associative algebra') is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space

$$A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A^n$$

endowed with graded maps

$$m_n : A^{\otimes n} \to A, \;\; n \geq 1,$$

of degree $2 - n$ satisfying the following relations.

- $m_1 m_1 = 0$, i.e. (A, m_1) is a differential complex.
- We have
$$m_1 m_2 = m_2(m_1 \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes m_1)$$
as maps $A^{\otimes 2} \to A$. Here id denotes the identity map of the space A. So m_1 is a graded derivation with respect to multiplication m_2.
- We have
$$m_2(\mathrm{id} \otimes m_2 - m_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}) = m_1 m_3 +$$
$$m_3(m_1 \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes m_1 \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes m_1)$$
as maps $A^{\otimes 3} \to A$. This implies that m_2 is associative up to homotopy.

- More generally, for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\sum (-1)^{r+st} m_u(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes r} \otimes m_s \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes t}) = 0$$

  where the sum runs over all decompositions $n = r + s + t$ and $u = r + t + 1$.

Following is an immediate consequence of the definition 7.2.1:

Corollary 7.2.1. *If m_n vanishes for all $n \geq 3$, then A is an associative differential graded algebra and conversely each differential graded algebra yields an A_∞-algebra structure with $m_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 3$.*

Theorem 7.2.1 (Kadeishvili)**.** *Let C be an A_∞-algebra and let $E = HC$ be the cohomology ring of C. There exists an A_∞ structure on E with $m_1 = 0$ and m_2 induced by multiplication on C.*

Proof. For proof and more details about the theorem we refer to [23]. □

Remark 7.2.1. Furthermore the A_∞ structure on E, mentioned in theorem 7.2.1 is unique up to quasi-isomorphism[22] of A_∞ algebras.

7.2.1 Merkulov's construction

Let A be a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra and let $(Q_\cdot, d_\cdot)$ be a minimal graded projective resolution of $\mathbb{K}$ by A-modules with $Q_0 = A$. Here the resolution can be taken as Anick resolution and it is possible to construct a minimal resolution from Anick resolution. Let $U = \mathrm{Hom}_A(Q, Q)$ be the differential graded endomorphism algebra of $(Q_\cdot, d_\cdot)$ with multiplication given by composition. The minimality of the resolution implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_A(Q_\cdot, \mathbb{K})$ is equal to its cohomology.
We compute the structure of an A_∞ algebra on $\mathrm{Hom}_A(Q_\cdot, \mathbb{K})$ by specifying the data of a strong deformation retraction from U to $\mathrm{Hom}_A(Q_\cdot, \mathbb{K})$. We choose maps $i : \mathrm{Hom}_A(Q_\cdot, \mathbb{K}) \to U$, $p : U \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(Q_\cdot, \mathbb{K})$ and a chain homotopy map $G : U \to U$ such that i and p are degree 0 morphisms of complexes and G is a homogeneous $\mathbb{K}$-linear map of degree -1 such that $pi = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Hom}(Q,d)}$ and $\mathrm{id}_U - ip = \delta G - G\delta$, where δ is the differential on U given by $\delta(f) = df - (-1)^{|f|} fd$ ($|f|$ is the degree of f).
We define a family of homogeneous $\mathbb{K}$-linear maps $\{\lambda_n : U^{\otimes n} \to U\}_{n \geq 2}$ with $\deg(\lambda_n) = 2 - n$ as follows:

- λ_2 is multiplication on U.
- $G\lambda_1 = -\mathrm{id}_U$.
- $\lambda_n = \sum_{\substack{s+t=n \\ s,t \geq 1}} (-1)^{s+1} \lambda_2(G\lambda_s \otimes G\lambda_t)$.

Theorem 7.2.2 (Merkulov)**.** *Let $m_1 = 0$ and for $n \geq 2$, let $m_n = p\lambda_n i^{\otimes n}$. Then $(\mathrm{Hom}(Q_., \mathbb{K}), m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots)$ is an A_∞-algebra satisfying the conditions of theorem 7.2.1.*

Merkulov's theorem applies to the subcomplex $i(\mathrm{Hom}_A(Q_., \mathbb{K})$ of U and gives structure maps $m_n = ip\lambda_n$ which together with the subcomplex forms an A_∞ structure.

Lemma 7.2.1. *$E = \mathrm{Ext}_A(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})$ is isomorphic to HU.*

So we have seen how to get an A_∞ algebra structure on Ext-algebras.

Remark 7.2.2. If one use the Merkulov's construction to compute A_∞-algebra structure associated to algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle x\rangle/(x^2)$ by constructing its Anick resolution then one can find that all higher maps in A_∞ structure vanish for $n \geq 3$. A Koszul algebra canonically carries an A_∞ structure will all higher maps vanish for $n \geq 3$ [7]. Algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle x\rangle/(x^2)$ is Koszul and hence the above computation through Merkulov's construction verifies this fact.

References

[1] Bruno Buchberger, *An Algorithm for Finding the Basis Elements of the Residue Class Ring of a Zero Dimensional Polynomial Ideal*, Ph.D Dissertation, 1965. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 41, 2006 (Translation).

[2] Viviana Ene and Jürgen Herzog, *Gröbner Bases in Commutative Algebra*, GSM, Volume 130, AMS.

[3] V.A.Ufnarovskij, *Combinatorial and Asymptotic Methods in Algebra*, EMS, Algebra VI, Volume 57, 1990.

[4] S.Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer, 1978.

[5] S.I.Gelfand and Yu.I.Manin, *Methods of Homological Algebra*, Springer, 2002.

[6] Joseph J.Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Springer, 2008.

[7] Jean-Louis Loday and Bruno Vallette, *Algebraic Operads*, Springer, 2012.

[8] Andrew Conner and Pete Goetz, *A_∞-Algebra Structures associated to K_2 Algebras*, arXiv:1005.5185v2 [math.RA] 14 Jun 2010.

[9] Christopher Phan, *Generalized Koszul properties for augmented algebras*, J.Algebra 321(2009), no. 5, pp 1522-1537. MR MR2494406 (2010a:16017).

[10] Thomas Cassidy and Brad Shelton, *Generalizing the notion of Koszul algebra*, Math.Z. 260(2008) no. 1, pp 93-114 MR MR2413345 (2009e:16047).

[11] George M.Bergman, *The diamond lemma for ring theory*, Adv. in Math, 29(1978), no. 2, pp 178-218, MR MR506890 (81b:16001).

[12] Daniel R.Farkas, *The Anick resolution*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 79(1992), pp 159-168, North Holland.

[13] Teo Mora, *An introduction to commutative and noncommutative Gröbner bases*, Theoretical Computer Science, 134(1994), pp 131-173, Elsevier.

[14] Vladimir Dotsenko and Anton Khoroshkin, *Free Resolution via Gröbner Bases*, arXiv:0912.4895v4 [math.KT] 11 May 2011.

[15] David J.Anick, *On the Homology of Associative Algebras*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 296, no. 2 (Aug.,1986), pp 641-659, AMS.

[16] F.Mora, *Gröbner bases for non-commutative polynomial rings*, in:Proc.AAECC 3, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 229(1986), pp 353-362.

[17] David J.Green, *Gröbner Bases and the computation of Group Cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1828, Springer, 2003.

[18] A.I.Shtern (2001), *Group algebra of a locally compact group*, in Hazewinkel, Michiel, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, Springer.

[19] Sergei Winitzki, *Linear Algebra via Exterior Products*(2010).

[20] David Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a view towards algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[21] S.Mac Lane, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag, 1963.

[22] Bernhard Keller, *Introduction to A-infinity algebras and modules*, arXiv:math/9910179v2 [math.RA] 12 Jan 2001.

[23] T.V.Kadeisvili, *On the theory of homology of fiber spaces*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **35** (1980), no. 3(213), **183-188**, International Topology Conference (Moscow State Univ., Moscow, 1979). MR MR580645 (2004a:18008a).